

2/7/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
11/16/2015 0:00	Decertified	Decertified	Decertified	Evaluation
11/16/2015 0:00	Decertified	Decertified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
10/17/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
10/17/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
10/17/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
10/17/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
10/17/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
10/17/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
4/10/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
4/10/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
4/10/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
4/10/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
5/7/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
5/7/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
5/7/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
5/7/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/25/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/25/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
7/31/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
7/31/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/25/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/25/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
9/25/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/25/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
7/11/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Re-Evaluation
7/11/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Decertified	PSC Re-Evaluation
9/2/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Re-Evaluation
9/2/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Re-Evaluation
4/27/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
4/26/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
4/27/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
4/26/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
8/12/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
8/12/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/13/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
9/13/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/21/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
9/21/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
7/23/2016 0:00	Decertified	Decertified	Certified	Evaluation
7/23/2016 0:00	Decertified	Decertified	Decertified	PSC Evaluation
7/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
7/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
10/23/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
10/23/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/21/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
9/21/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
10/19/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
10/19/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
10/19/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation

10/19/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Decertified	PSC Evaluation
10/19/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
10/19/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
10/19/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
10/19/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
8/21/2015 0:00	Decertified	Decertified	Decertified	Evaluation
2/23/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Decertified	Evaluation
8/21/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
8/21/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
5/9/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
5/9/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
8/21/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
8/21/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
5/9/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
5/9/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
5/9/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
5/9/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
8/21/2015 0:00	Decertified	Decertified	Certified	Evaluation
8/21/2015 0:00	Decertified	Decertified	Decertified	PSC Evaluation
12/17/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
7/26/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
7/26/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
8/25/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
8/25/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
1/14/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
5/10/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
5/10/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
7/10/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Re-Evaluation
7/10/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Re-Evaluation
9/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
1/14/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
12/17/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
5/10/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
5/10/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
1/14/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
4/11/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
4/11/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
4/11/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
4/11/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/18/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/18/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
7/18/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
6/23/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Decertified	Evaluation
11/1/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Re-Evaluation
8/21/2016 0:00	Decertified	Decertified	Pending	Evaluation
8/21/2016 0:00	Decertified	Decertified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
6/23/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation

6/23/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
6/23/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Decertified	Evaluation
11/1/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Re-Evaluation
7/23/2015 0:00	Decertified	N/A	Decertified	Evaluation
7/23/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
7/22/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
7/23/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Decertified	Evaluation
10/18/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Re-Evaluation
7/22/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
3/17/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Decertified	Evaluation
9/17/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
9/17/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
9/17/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
9/17/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
3/17/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
5/12/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
5/12/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
7/22/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
7/22/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/19/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/19/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
7/22/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
7/22/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
7/22/2015 0:00	Decertified	Decertified	Decertified	Evaluation
7/22/2015 0:00	Decertified	Decertified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
12/13/2015 0:00	Pending	Certified	Decertified	Evaluation
12/13/2015 0:00	Pending	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
8/24/2016 0:00	Pending	Pending	Pending	Evaluation
8/24/2016 0:00	Pending	Pending	Pending	PSC Evaluation
2/25/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
2/25/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
5/7/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
5/7/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/26/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/26/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
9/26/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/26/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
5/7/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
5/7/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/21/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
9/21/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/22/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/22/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
8/10/2016 0:00	Pending	Pending	Pending	Evaluation
8/10/2016 0:00	Pending	Pending	Pending	PSC Evaluation
9/22/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/22/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
9/24/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
9/25/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
1/10/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation

1/10/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/20/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
9/20/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
4/24/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
4/24/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/24/2015 0:00	Decertified	Decertified	Decertified	Evaluation
9/25/2015 0:00	Decertified	Decertified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
1/10/2016 0:00	Pending	Pending	Decertified	Evaluation
1/10/2016 0:00	Pending	Pending	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/24/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/24/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
9/20/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
9/20/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/24/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
9/24/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/24/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/24/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
4/24/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
4/24/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/20/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
9/20/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/24/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/24/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
11/16/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
11/16/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
4/5/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
4/5/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
11/16/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
11/16/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/17/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/17/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
2/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
2/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/17/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/17/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
9/21/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/21/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
10/18/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
10/18/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/21/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/21/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
2/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
2/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
6/28/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
6/28/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/18/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
9/18/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
8/29/2016 0:00	Decertified	Decertified	Pending	Evaluation

8/29/2016 0:00	Decertified	Decertified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
9/18/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
5/12/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
7/30/2015 0:00	Pending	N/A	Decertified	Evaluation
5/12/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
5/12/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
8/15/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
7/26/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
8/15/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
8/21/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
8/15/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
12/8/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
12/8/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
7/22/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
7/22/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
5/13/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
5/13/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
7/11/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
5/13/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
7/11/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
5/13/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
5/13/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
5/13/2016 0:00	Decertified	Certified	Decertified	Evaluation
5/13/2016 0:00	Decertified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
7/11/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
7/11/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
9/15/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
9/15/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/15/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
9/15/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/15/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
9/15/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/15/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
9/15/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/15/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
9/15/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
3/7/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
3/7/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
8/7/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
8/7/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/15/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/15/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
7/18/2015 0:00	Decertified	Decertified	Certified	Evaluation
7/18/2015 0:00	Decertified	Decertified	Decertified	PSC Evaluation
10/18/2015 0:00	Decertified	Decertified	Decertified	Re-Evaluation
10/18/2015 0:00	Decertified	Decertified	Certified	PSC Re-Evaluation
5/24/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
5/24/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
5/24/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
5/24/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
8/7/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation

8/7/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/15/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/15/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
9/15/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/15/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
5/26/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
5/26/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
5/26/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
1/15/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
11/1/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
1/15/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
1/10/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
8/27/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
7/17/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Re-Evaluation
5/21/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
5/21/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
7/21/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
7/18/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
8/11/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
8/11/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
8/11/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
5/21/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
5/21/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
8/11/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
8/11/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
3/6/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
3/6/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
3/6/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
3/6/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
3/6/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
3/6/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
7/21/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
3/6/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
3/6/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
7/18/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
5/21/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
5/21/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
12/7/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
12/7/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
7/27/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Re-Evaluation
7/27/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Re-Evaluation
7/18/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
2/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Decertified	Evaluation
2/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Decertified	PSC Evaluation
5/7/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Re-Evaluation
5/7/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Re-Evaluation
2/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Decertified	Evaluation
2/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Decertified	PSC Evaluation
5/7/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Re-Evaluation
5/7/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Re-Evaluation

2/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Decertified	Evaluation
2/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Decertified	PSC Evaluation
5/7/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Re-Evaluation
5/7/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Re-Evaluation
2/23/2016 0:00	Decertified	Decertified	Decertified	Evaluation
2/23/2016 0:00	Decertified	Decertified	Decertified	PSC Evaluation
3/9/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
3/9/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
1/14/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
1/14/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
7/22/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
1/14/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
2/7/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
1/14/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
8/15/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
8/15/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
7/22/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
2/7/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
8/15/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
8/15/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
3/9/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
3/9/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
3/9/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Decertified	PSC Evaluation
6/6/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Re-Evaluation
6/6/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Re-Evaluation
6/28/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
6/28/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/25/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/25/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
6/28/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
6/28/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
6/28/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
6/28/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/25/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/25/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
6/28/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
6/28/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
2/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
2/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
2/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
2/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
11/16/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
11/16/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
2/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
2/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
8/10/2016 0:00	Pending	Pending	Pending	Evaluation
8/10/2016 0:00	Pending	Pending	Pending	PSC Evaluation
5/25/2016 0:00	Decertified	Decertified	Decertified	Evaluation
3/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
3/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation

3/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
3/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/16/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
9/16/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
3/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
3/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
4/28/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
4/28/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Decertified	PSC Evaluation
7/10/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Re-Evaluation
7/10/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Re-Evaluation
9/12/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
9/16/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
9/16/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
4/28/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
4/28/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Decertified	PSC Evaluation
7/10/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Re-Evaluation
7/10/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Re-Evaluation
4/28/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
4/28/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Decertified	PSC Evaluation
7/10/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Re-Evaluation
7/10/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Re-Evaluation
7/25/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
7/25/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
1/14/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Decertified	Evaluation
1/14/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Decertified	PSC Evaluation
4/28/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Re-Evaluation
4/28/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Re-Evaluation
6/27/2015 0:00	Decertified	Decertified	Decertified	Evaluation
9/12/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
2/23/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
1/14/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
7/25/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
7/25/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
1/14/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
1/14/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/16/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
9/16/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
2/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
2/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
2/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
2/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
6/19/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
6/19/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
9/12/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
8/31/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
6/5/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
6/5/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
9/20/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/20/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
12/13/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation

12/13/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
12/13/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
12/13/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/20/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/20/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
10/18/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
10/18/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
10/18/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
10/18/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
11/16/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
11/16/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
11/16/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
11/16/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
2/10/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
9/20/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/20/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
11/5/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
11/5/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
2/10/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
2/10/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
9/27/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Re-Evaluation
9/27/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Re-Evaluation
9/20/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/20/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
2/10/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
2/10/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
8/6/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
2/10/2016 0:00	Decertified	Certified	Decertified	Evaluation
2/10/2016 0:00	Decertified	Certified	Decertified	PSC Evaluation
6/6/2016 0:00	Decertified	Certified	Decertified	Re-Evaluation
6/6/2016 0:00	Decertified	Certified	Certified	PSC Re-Evaluation
2/10/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Decertified	Evaluation
2/10/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
7/25/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
7/25/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
1/12/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
1/12/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/17/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/17/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
9/21/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
9/21/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
3/5/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
9/17/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/17/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
3/5/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
9/21/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
9/21/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
5/22/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
5/22/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
7/25/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Decertified	Evaluation

7/25/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/17/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/17/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
7/25/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Re-Evaluation
7/25/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Re-Evaluation
5/22/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
5/22/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/17/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/17/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
11/6/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
1/25/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Decertified	Evaluation
1/25/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Decertified	PSC Evaluation
5/7/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Re-Evaluation
5/7/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Re-Evaluation
2/23/2016 0:00	Decertified	Decertified	Decertified	Evaluation
2/23/2016 0:00	Decertified	Decertified	Decertified	PSC Evaluation
7/12/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
7/12/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
1/25/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
1/25/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
1/25/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
2/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
2/23/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
3/6/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
3/6/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
6/27/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Re-Evaluation
6/27/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Re-Evaluation
3/6/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
3/6/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Decertified	PSC Evaluation
6/11/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Re-Evaluation
6/11/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Re-Evaluation
8/10/2016 0:00	Pending	Pending	Pending	Evaluation
8/10/2016 0:00	Pending	Pending	Pending	PSC Evaluation
6/27/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
6/27/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
2/24/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
3/25/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
3/25/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
7/15/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
7/15/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
8/21/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
7/15/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
7/15/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
9/20/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/20/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
7/15/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Re-Evaluation
7/15/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Re-Evaluation
2/24/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
2/24/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
2/24/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation

2/24/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
3/25/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
3/25/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
3/25/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
3/25/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
2/24/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
7/11/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
2/24/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
2/24/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
9/27/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/27/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/27/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
10/18/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
3/9/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
3/9/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Decertified	Evaluation
9/27/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/27/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	Evaluation
9/27/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Pending	PSC Evaluation
3/9/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Decertified	Evaluation
3/9/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
7/11/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Decertified	Evaluation
7/11/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
10/18/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
10/18/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Evaluation
11/16/2015 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Evaluation
8/21/2016 0:00	Pending	Pending	Pending	Evaluation
8/21/2016 0:00	Pending	Pending	Pending	PSC Evaluation
4/29/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	Re-Evaluation
4/29/2016 0:00	Certified	Certified	Certified	PSC Re-Evaluation
10/18/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
8/10/2016 0:00	Pending	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
10/22/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
10/30/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
10/22/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Decertified	Evaluation
12/7/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Re-Evaluation
4/15/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
4/15/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
10/22/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
10/18/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
8/7/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
8/7/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
11/3/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
6/27/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
6/27/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
11/3/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
4/15/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
12/7/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
6/27/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
10/22/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
6/27/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation

11/3/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
10/30/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
10/18/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
10/18/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
8/10/2016 0:00	Pending	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
4/18/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
10/30/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
4/11/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
2/6/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
2/6/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
4/11/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Decertified	Evaluation
6/29/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
2/6/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
11/9/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
11/9/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
3/10/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
2/6/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
9/24/2016 0:00	Pending	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
2/6/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
2/6/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
2/6/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
7/16/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
9/24/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
3/20/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
7/19/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
1/12/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
7/19/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
9/24/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
7/16/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
1/12/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Decertified	Evaluation
5/10/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
3/20/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
3/20/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
7/19/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
5/10/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
7/16/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
5/10/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
3/20/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
3/20/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
3/25/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
7/12/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
3/25/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
3/2/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
3/2/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
6/21/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
3/25/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
3/2/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
3/2/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
3/10/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
3/10/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation

3/10/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
3/10/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
3/10/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
5/11/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
5/11/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
9/11/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
8/6/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
8/10/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
8/6/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
8/10/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
8/6/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
8/10/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
6/19/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
6/24/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
6/19/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
6/24/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
6/19/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
6/24/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
8/28/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
8/16/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
8/28/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
8/16/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
8/28/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
6/21/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
6/25/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
6/21/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
6/25/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
9/16/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
9/17/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
9/16/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
9/17/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
9/17/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
8/6/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
9/13/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
8/10/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
9/13/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
8/6/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
9/13/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
8/10/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
8/6/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
10/1/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Decertified	Evaluation
12/5/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Re-Evaluation
9/30/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
10/1/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
9/30/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
1/29/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
1/29/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
1/29/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
10/1/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
9/30/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Pending	Evaluation

1/25/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
1/25/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
1/25/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
1/25/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
9/14/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
9/16/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
9/14/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
3/9/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
7/11/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
8/20/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
5/14/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
11/16/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
8/20/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
7/11/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
5/14/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
7/22/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
5/6/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
4/11/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Decertified	Evaluation
7/22/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Re-Evaluation
9/3/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
5/6/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
4/11/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
7/15/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
7/10/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
7/15/2015 0:00	Decertified	N/A	Decertified	Evaluation
12/13/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
7/10/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
7/15/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
7/10/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
7/15/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
6/25/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
7/15/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
7/10/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
3/5/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
2/21/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
2/21/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
2/21/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
6/23/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
5/26/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
2/21/2016 0:00	Decertified	N/A	Decertified	Evaluation
5/26/2016 0:00	Decertified	N/A	Decertified	Re-Evaluation
8/25/2016 0:00	Decertified	N/A	Pending	Re-Evaluation
9/18/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
8/22/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
2/21/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
8/22/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
9/18/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
8/22/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
6/23/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
3/5/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation

9/21/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
9/21/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
9/21/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
9/21/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
1/26/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
1/23/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
1/23/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
7/9/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
1/26/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
1/23/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
7/14/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
1/26/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
1/9/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
1/9/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
1/9/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
10/1/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Decertified	Evaluation
12/10/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Re-Evaluation
6/4/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
6/4/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
6/4/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
4/28/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
9/11/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
9/11/2016 0:00	Pending	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
3/17/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
3/17/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
9/11/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
3/17/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
9/11/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
9/11/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
3/17/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Decertified	Evaluation
8/24/2016 0:00	Pending	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
12/10/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
5/24/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
9/11/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
8/24/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
5/24/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
10/18/2015 0:00	Decertified	N/A	Decertified	Evaluation
4/9/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
9/11/2015 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
8/24/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Pending	Evaluation
4/9/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
4/9/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
4/9/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
5/24/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation
5/24/2016 0:00	Certified	N/A	Certified	Evaluation

No part of this record may be disclosed to persons without a "need to know", as defined in 49 CFR parts 15 and 1520, Secretary of Transportation. Unauthorized release may result in civil penalty or other action. For U.S. government agencies,

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Aggressive Canine Behavioral Assessment

CTES Instructor/Trainer Name:	Elizabeth Hurst
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	8 January 2016
Canine Team:	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
If safety becomes an issue please stop that action or scenario when observing or conducting any of the below listed items.	
Check all applicable boxes (if checked an explanation is required)	
Canine Showed Aggression Towards:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Explosives Items	
<input type="checkbox"/> Canines or Other Animals	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Handler	
<input type="checkbox"/> Adults	
<input type="checkbox"/> Children	
<input type="checkbox"/> All People	
<input type="checkbox"/> Family Members at Home	
<input type="checkbox"/> Food	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please describe if other):	
Explanation:	
Location the Aggression Had Occurred:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Home	
<input type="checkbox"/> Vehicle	
<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal	
<input type="checkbox"/> Kennel	
<input type="checkbox"/> Office	
<input type="checkbox"/> Public area	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please describe if other):	
Explanation:	
Canine (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r) was housed inside the home in a wire crate.	
Did the Aggression Occur:	
<input type="checkbox"/> On Leash	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Off Leash	
<input type="checkbox"/> Both On and Off Leash	
Explanation:	
The canine was in a wire crate during the evening and no equipment was on him for safety purposes.	

Briefly describe the incident(s) that occurred. Isolated Incident or Multiple Incidents

On 6 January 2016, at approximately 0600hrs, Officer (b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3)(4) was attempting to retrieve his assigned canine (b)(3)(4) from his wire crate to go to work when the incident occurred. The canine was being housed inside the home due to the cold temperature the previous night. When Officer (b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3)(4) opened the crate door, (b)(3)(49) U.S.C. § (b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3)(49) lunged towards (b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3)(49) in an aggressive manner, catching the top of Officer (b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3)(49) right thumb and scrapping the skin back. After taking the canine to the veterinarian, it was suggested that canine (b)(3)(49) U.S.C. § might be displaying signs of arthritis. This could have also influenced the canine's behavior early in the morning.

How often has the aggressive behavior occurred?

This is the first time the canine has made contact with Officer (b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3)(4) and caused bodily injury. The canine has displayed signs of crate possession/aggression in the past. According to the handler, (b)(3)(4) began showing these signs during their initial training at LAFB. The canine experienced an incident/injury while attempting to jump into the canine transport trailer. To avoid further incidents, the handler was allowed to transport (b)(3)(49) U.S.C. § in his assigned patrol vehicle which was equipped with a canine transport unit. When Officer (b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3)(49) returned to his assigned airport, he was given a different vehicle. (b)(3)(4) initially showed signs of possessiveness, but was corrected and is a non-issue now. (b)(3)(49) has only displayed this type of behavior inside the wire crate at home. He has never shown aggression towards passengers, other handlers, the handler's family members or other canines.

Do the handler's training records reflect the aggressive behavior?

Record #1100330: (b)(3)(4) let Officer (b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3)(49) know he didn't like being corrected by barking at the handler. No major incident and the canine calmed down quickly.

What immediate action was taken by the handler for the aggressive behavior?

Once the handler was able to free his hand, he corrected the canine as best as he could without having a leash or collar on the canine.

Describe the corrective action plan utilized by the handler for correcting the behavior?

To avoid future incidents, the handler will avoid placing the canine in any type of crate at home.

While the canine was with the handler:

Did the canine display any aggressive behavior when:

- Someone entered the canine team's personal space
- The canine was given direct eye contact in a passive approach
- The canine was approached by someone in a wheelchair
- The canine was approached by someone running/jogging
- The canine was startled in the work environment by quick movement

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

- The canine was given direct eye contact in an assertive approach
- The canine was approached by someone talking gesturing wildly (movement)
- The canine was given verbal conflict (yelling/loud speaking)
- The canine was startled in the work environment by someone waling unsteadily
- The canine was startled in the work environment by sound
- The canine was approached by someone with a large object (cleaning cart/trash can)
- Someone entered the canine's vehicle

Explanation:

(b)(3):4
o has only displayed this type of behavior any time he is housed in a crate at home and during the colder months. He has never shown aggression towards passengers, other handlers, the handler's family members or other canines.

In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the office
x Kennel Unrestrained

Did the canine display any aggressive behavior when:

- The canine was startled in the office environment by quick movement
- The canine was startled in the office environment by sound
- The canine was approached by someone in a blind corner

Explanation:

Canine (b)(3):4
o showed no signs of aggression when inside the kennel in the office area. He was placed in the same type of wire crate similar to the wire crate at home. A difference is, the crate at home has a cushion inside of it and the one at the office does not. Also, the time of day.

In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the backyard and house (if allowed in house)

Did the canine display and aggressive behavior when:

- The canine was startled in the home environment by quick movement
- The canine was startled in the home environment by sound
- The canine was possessive about items (toys)
- Someone new feed the canine
- Someone new pulled the canine's feed pan

Explanation:

The canine is allowed to interact with Officer (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 family and there has never been an incident of aggression towards any of them to include the family dog.

Did the canine display any aggressive behavior during the assessment? (Describe the incident)

Yes

x No

Description (canines posture, crowds, demeanor, fight/flight, etc):

When canine (b)(3):49
u s c s was pulled from the vehicle, he walked around without any hesitation, tail wagging and was actively engaging his surroundings. I approached the handler and pet (b)(3):49
u s c s without any incident.

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Is the canine's behavior influenced by the handler?
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No How:
Did the handler take the appropriate corrective action needed to minimize the behavior?
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Explanation: Since the canine has an outside kennel space, the handler has requested a more conducive dog house to assist with the elements.
Describe the corrective action plan and recommendations given by the CTES representative?
Officer (b)(6);(b)(7) (C);(b)(3);4 was advised to avoid placing (b)(3);4 in the wire crate at home. Through discussion with the handler and recollecting past behaviors, it was determined that canine (b)(3);49 U.S.C. 8 has become indifferent concerning the other wire crates or confined areas, to include the vehicle.
Other Pertinent Information: More tests are being conducted to determine if the canine is suffering from some form of arthritis. The unit and the handler express their desire to keep the canine. The handler is not afraid of the canine and is taking measures to ensure this stays an isolated incident.

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Aggressive Canine Behavioral Assessment

CTES Instructor/Trainer Name:	Andrew Chumbler
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	January 8, 2016
Canine Team:	(b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
If safety becomes an issue please stop that action or scenario when observing or conducting any of the below listed items.	
Check all applicable boxes (if checked an explanation is required)	
Canine Showed Aggression Towards:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Explosives Items	
<input type="checkbox"/> Canines or Other Animals	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Handler	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adults	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Children	
<input type="checkbox"/> All People	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Family Members at Home	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Food	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please describe if other):	
Explanation: The canine has growled at the handler when feeding (possessive). The canine has growled at both wife and daughters when they were around the crate. The canine growled at the canine Sergeant upon first contact with the canine.	
Location the Aggression Had Occurred:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Home	
<input type="checkbox"/> Vehicle	
<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Kennel	
<input type="checkbox"/> Office	
<input type="checkbox"/> Public area	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please describe if other):	
Explanation: The incident occurred in the laundry room. The water bowl was in close proximity to the plastic crate.	
Did the Aggression Occur:	
<input type="checkbox"/> On Leash	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Off Leash	
<input type="checkbox"/> Both On and Off Leash	
Explanation:	
The handler had just returned from their walk he had just removed the leash when the incident occurred.	

Briefly describe the incident(s) that occurred. Isolated Incident or Multiple Incidents

This information is according to a written report and conversation with Handler (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3)-4 On Jan. 4, 2016, at approximately 2000, canine (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § bit his handler. The handler was bringing the canine in for the night due to the low temperatures. He had just returned from a walk and standing in front of the crate. The canine was still on the leash. The handler filled his water bowl and placed it on the floor, outside the crate. Handler removed the leash from the canine and pointed towards the water bowl. Handler told the canine to drink water and as he was bringing his right hand back towards his body and about to stand up, the canine bit his right index finger. After a split second, after realizing the canine had his finger in his mouth, he then gave him the "out" command. The canine released but continued to growl. He then gave him the "sit" command, in which he did immediately. Handler left him where he was momentarily so he could check the bite and stop the bleeding. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3) then put him in the kennel outside where he remained for the night. Handler got medical attention. Handler was seen and treated receiving 5 stitches and a Tetanus shot.

How often has the aggressive behavior occurred?

The handler has only been with this canine a short time. There were a few times when the canine had growled at the other family member. This generally occurs around the truck, office kennel and crate. It should be noted that the canine growled and showed his teeth to the canine Sergeant when he approached on the first day the canine came to the office. The handlers felt it was a new dog in a new environment.

Do the handler's training records reflect the aggressive behavior?

The handler's records do not reflect the aggressive behavior. However there is a brief mention in the medical record for March 17, 2015. Stated the canine bucked and growled at various unrepeatable/unpredictable points when approached by the vet or tech.

What immediate action was taken by the handler for the aggressive behavior?

The handler gave the canine the verbal command of "out" to get his finger back and sit to stop the canine from growling. The canine stayed in the sit until the blood was cleaned up and the wound was checked. The canine was then sent to the outdoor kennel for the night.

Describe the corrective action plan utilized by the handler for correcting the behavior?

Handler will need to maintain positive control of the canine at all times. This is the first time this behavior has happened. In the event the canine does offer this type of behavior the handler will need to physically and verbally correct the undesired behavior. Be cautious around the kennel.

While the canine was with the handler:

- Did the canine display any aggressive behavior when:**
- Someone entered the canine team's personal space
 - The canine was given direct eye contact in a passive approach
 - The canine was approached by someone in a wheelchair
 - The canine was approached by someone running/jogging
 - The canine was startled in the work environment by quick movement
 - The canine was given direct eye contact in an assertive approach
 - The canine was approached by someone talking gesturing wildly (movement)
 - The canine was given verbal conflict (yelling/loud speaking)
 - The canine was startled in the work environment by someone waling unsteadily

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the work environment by sound <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone with a large object (cleaning cart/trash can) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Someone entered the canine's vehicle Explanation: This RCTI arrived in (b)(3)-49 U.S.C. § and got into Handler (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)(b)(3)-4 Vehicle to pick up his vehicle at the TSA offices. During the ride the canine showed a great deal of aggressive behavior toward the RCTI for the duration on the ride. Direct eye contact from wife caused the canine to growl.
In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the office <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Kennel <input type="checkbox"/> Unrestrained
Did the canine display any aggressive behavior when: <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the office environment by quick movement <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the office environment by sound <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone in a blind corner Explanation: If the canine can see anyone or any other canine in the office the canine will show a great deal of aggression toward those people or animals. This would consist of Growling, barking and showing teeth.
In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the backyard and house (if allowed in house) Did the canine display and aggressive behavior when: <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the home environment by quick movement <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the home environment by sound <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was possessive about items (toys) <input type="checkbox"/> Someone new feed the canine <input type="checkbox"/> Someone new pulled the canine's feed pan Explanation: When the canine is in the back yard and kennel in the back yard there appears to be no issues.
Did the canine display any aggressive behavior during the assessment? (Describe the incident) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No In the situations outside the kennel the canine worked well and showed no signs of aggression. Talking with the other handlers and trying to put things in perspective, the canine is ok when he can approach you and is in control. If you approach the canine the canine will show signs of aggression in the way of growling and posturing.
Is the canine's behavior influenced by the handler? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No How: The handler is new and still learning on a daily basis. It is not in this RCTI's opinion that the canine up to this point was negatively influenced by the handler. The handler's demeanor is solid and calm. However it was explained that from this point forward the handler will need to be more assertive when dealing with the canine.
Did the handler take the appropriate corrective action needed to minimize the behavior?

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Yes

No

Explanation: The handler did what he knew under the circumstances. In an ideal situation the correction would have been faster and more physical paired with verbal commands. To be more specific the canine would have been able to have a reference point in which his life changed with this handler.

Describe the corrective action plan and recommendations given by the CTES representative?

The handler needs to continue to stay vigilant and to be assertive while in the presence of the canine. It was stressed to be aware of his surroundings pay close attention to the people around the canine. The canine when out and about appears to be very approachable. This can be an accident waiting to happen. If the canine offers the undesired behavior and the surroundings can support good corrective action a strong verbal and physical correction will be necessary to correct the behavior.

Other Pertinent Information:

This RCTI arrived to perform an OTA on this canine. After the incident the team continued to work well together. The handler was instructed to be very assertive with the canine. We continued to follow a plan as if conducting an OTA while running random scenarios that may or may not cause the canine to become aggressive. Other than in the vehicle and office kennel the canine did not show any real issue with aggression to the RCTI. (b)(3).49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

(b)(3).49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

(b)(3).49 U.S.C. § 114(r) The handler is new and does like the canine even after the incident.

The canine does appear to be unpredictable. The canine showed some agitation and squared up on the RCTI when the RCTI continued to make physical contact with the handler (patting him on the back). If you saw this canine in the Airport you would think it there was no issue. The dog appears to be happy and ready to work.

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Aggressive Canine Behavioral Assessment

CTES Instructor/Trainer Name:	RCTI Jakub Holmes
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	July 1, 2016
Canine Team:	Handler (b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

If safety becomes an issue please stop that action or scenario when observing or conducting any of the below listed items.

Check all applicable boxes (if checked an explanation is required)

Canine Showed Aggression Towards:

- Explosives Items
- Canines or Other Animals
- Handler
- Adults
- Children
- All People
- Family Members at Home
- Food
- Other (please describe if other):

Explanation:

After looking over this teams canine records, observing the team in training and interviewing the handler, there have been a few cases of aggression by this canine. The incident that started the aggressive assessment stemmed from the canine lunging toward a small child running in the queue line during RTTA. The canine has shown aggressive nudging on CETA (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) The aggressive nudging has been addressed in training and has not resurfaced since being corrected. The canine has also shown aggression towards passengers dragging objects, notably duffel bags, when moving through the queue line. The canine will become heavily distracted and start barking and pulling toward the bag. Lastly the canine has shown canine aggression toward passenger pets and other working canines in the area.

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Location the Aggression Had Occurred: <input type="checkbox"/> Home <input type="checkbox"/> Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal <input type="checkbox"/> Kennel <input type="checkbox"/> Office <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public area <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (please describe if other): Explanation: All aggression from the canine has occurred in the area of the checkpoint.
Did the Aggression Occur: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> On Leash <input type="checkbox"/> Off Leash <input type="checkbox"/> Both On and Off Leash Explanation: The canine is kept on leash at all times except when at the handler's home.
Briefly describe the incident(s) that occurred. <input type="checkbox"/> Isolated Incident or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Multiple Incidents On June 30 at approximately 1200 hours EDC Team (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(f) were conducting RTTA at the Main Checkpoint of (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(f) International Airport. Two separate incidents occurred at the same time while PSC (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(f) was conducting RTTA. First a young child (approximately 8 years old) reached over the top of PSC (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(f) head and attempted to pet her. PSC (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(f) snapped at the child but did not make contact. Handler (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(f) took immediate action pulling the canine away from the child and giving verbal corrections. Less than a minute later a passenger came through the queue line dragging a bag. This passenger was also accompanied by a small child (approximately 3-4 years old) who was running through the queue line. As the child entered the canine box PSC (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(f) lunged toward and snapped at the child. Handler (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(f) transferred PSC (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(f) from the harness to a choke chain and gave the necessary corrections. Handler (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(f) calmed PSC (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(f) and put her back to work once the child left the queue line. EDC Handler (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(f) met the passenger and her child at the security checkpoint and obtained a written statement from the mother. The mother stated "While moving in line toward the security checkpoint the working canine bit at my son (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(f) left forearm. He was not bleeding and did not seem to need medical attention." PSC (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(f) has been removed from RTTA operations until training and an additional assessment can be conducted.

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

How often has the aggressive behavior occurred?

Canine (b)(3).4 has shown multiple incidents of canine aggression along with aggression toward luggage being dragged by a passenger and kennel crates. The aggression most commonly has occurred at the Main Checkpoint.

Do the handler's training records reflect the aggressive behavior?

Yes, the handler has annotated aggressive behavior in training records.

What immediate action was taken by the handler for the aggressive behavior?

The handler has addressed canine aggression by giving sharp corrections to the canine. After speaking to RCTI Holmes it was identified that a sharp correction was ineffective towards changing the canine's behavior and was driving the canine further into aggression. Handler (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was instructed to give calm upward pressure on the choke chain and to put the canine into the sit position until all signs of aggression has ceased. This action has proven effective towards canine aggression.

Describe the corrective action plan utilized by the handler for correcting the behavior?

Before interaction with the RCTI the handler would give sharp corrections to the canine once the canine was showing aggressive behaviors. The handler was having trouble changing the canines behavior due to missing the initial signs that aggressive behavior was about to happen. The handler has had very little impact on the canine's undesirable behaviors.

While the canine was with the handler:

Did the canine display any aggressive behavior when:

- Someone entered the canine team's personal space
- The canine was given direct eye contact in a passive approach
- The canine was approached by someone in a wheelchair
- The canine was approached by someone running/jogging
- The canine was startled in the work environment by quick movement
- The canine was given direct eye contact in an assertive approach
- The canine was approached by someone talking gesturing wildly (movement)
- The canine was given verbal conflict (yelling/loud speaking)
- The canine was startled in the work environment by someone waling unsteadily
- The canine was startled in the work environment by sound
- The canine was approached by someone with a large object (cleaning cart/trash can)
- Someone entered the canine's vehicle

Explanation:

Multiple tests were conducted to put the canine in the listed situations. Multiple handlers and the RCTI were used as decoys for the tests. The canine did not show any aggressive tendencies standing static, conducting a training traverse or while in the queue line to the listed tests. But did show aggressive behavior toward canine specific tests listed in below section.

In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the office

- Kennel Unrestrained

Did the canine display any aggressive behavior when:

- The canine was startled in the office environment by quick movement
- The canine was startled in the office environment by sound
- The canine was approached by someone in a blind corner

Explanation:

The canine did not show any aggressive behavior.

In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the backyard and house (if allowed in house)

Did the canine display and aggressive behavior when:

- The canine was startled in the home environment by quick movement
- The canine was startled in the home environment by sound
- The canine was possessive about items (toys)
- Someone new feed the canine
- Someone new pulled the canine's feed pan

Explanation:

The canine showed no aggressive behavior.

Did the canine display any aggressive behavior during the assessment? (Describe the incident)

- Yes
- No

Description (canines posture, crowds, demeanor, fight/flight, etc):

The canine did not show any aggressive behavior on the listed tests. RCTI Holmes did test the canine in the canine box with issues brought up by the handler. The canine was introduced to a kennel crate, and dragging a kennel crate in the area of the queue and through the queue line. The canine became heavily distracted and showed aggressive behavior. The canine started growling, barking and jumping straight up into the air. The canine's behavior would intensify if the kennel crate moved closer.

Is the canine's behavior influenced by the handler?

- Yes
- No

How:

The handler has consistently allowed the canine to display undesirable behaviors without timely corrections. The handler also becomes emotionally involved when giving the canine corrections or when the canine shows aggressive behavior. The handler will give very sharp corrections and the delivery is not clear to the canine.

Did the handler take the appropriate corrective action needed to minimize the behavior?

- Yes
- No

Explanation:

During the time of the incident the handler did take the appropriate actions for the situation. Due to the canine being on the harness a proper collar correction could not be administered. Once the canine showed that she was still showing aggressive tendencies the handler switched the canine to the choke chain to gain control.

Describe the corrective action plan and recommendations given by the CTES representative?

While conducting the aggressive assessment PSC (b)(3)-4_o was put through multiple tests in different scenarios. The canine was put through the tests in public areas standing static, conducting a training traverse and while in the canine box. The canine did not show any aggressive behaviors with the listed tests on the aggressive assessment form. Based on conversation with the handler the canine was put through specific tests involving kennel crates and dragging objects while PSC (b)(3)-4_a was in the canine box. When PSC (b)(3)-4_o was introduced to the kennel crate she immediately became distracted and stopped working in the canine box. The kennel crate was initially kept outside of the queue line. As the kennel crate moved closer PSC (b)(3)-4_o behavior intensified and became aggressive with barking, growling and jumping straight into the air. Handler (b)(6)_{(b)(7)(C)} attempted to give corrections to the canine with little effect. RCTI Holmes stepped in and took control of PSC (b)(3)-4_o. RCTI Holmes worked PSC (b)(3)-4_o in the canine box to assess what will change the aggressive behavior.

RCTI Holmes worked PSC (b)(3)-4_o for approximately 20 minutes in the canine box. RCTI Holmes used a combination of sharp corrections, calm upward pressure on the choke chain while putting the canine in the sit position and steady pressure allowing the canine to decide on her own to avoid the distraction and move away. By the end of the training session the canine was completely avoiding the kennel crate being carried and dragged through the queue line. The canine showed a complete change of behavior after the problem was directly addressed.

Handler (b)(6)_{(b)(7)(C)} was then reintroduced to working PSC (b)(3)-4_o in the canine box with the same distractions. PSC (b)(3)-4_o did show some aggressive behavior toward the distractions at first. Handler (b)(6)_{(b)(7)(C)} was given specific instructions on how to address the behavior before it intensifies and what type of correction to give based on what the canine is showing. Once Handler (b)(6)_{(b)(7)(C)} started to understand when and how to give the proper corrections, PSC (b)(3)-4_o behavior was changed toward the distraction. By the end of the training session PSC (b)(3)-4_o would notice the distraction but completely avoid (b)(3)-4_o U.S.C. § 114(r) the distraction that was walking through the queue line. The canine was also played with heavily while the distractions were placed directly by the canine to initiate habituation of the distraction and focus on the handler.

Based on the progress that PSC (b)(3)-4_o showed through one training session the RCTI believes that there is a strong possibility that the behavior can be trained out of the canine. Most of the undesirable behaviors have lingered due to not addressing the problem directly. Although all incidents of the canine's aggression were mimicked, the canine did not show aggression towards most of them. It is believed that if Handler (b)(6)_{(b)(7)(C)} can gain control over PSC (b)(3)-4_o with her most aggressive distractions that a positive transfer of learning will take place toward all distractions the canine has shown aggression to.

It has been recommended that Handler (b)(6)_{(b)(7)(C)} be taken off of RTTA operations for a minimum of 5 working days to have time to directly address these aggressive behaviors. Handler (b)(6)_{(b)(7)(C)} has been given specific training instructions by the RCTI. The PSC team will be reevaluated within one week to establish if the canine's behavior has been corrected or if the problem still exists.

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Other Pertinent Information:

After training and a follow up assessment have been conducted with PSC (b)(3):49
11 S C 8 it will be determined if the canine still shows aggressive behaviors while working in the canine box. After these steps have been conducted, if the canine still poses a risk to the public then the canine will be considered for elimination from PSC operations.

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Aggressive Canine Behavioral Assessment

CTES Instructor/Trainer Name:	RCTI Jakub Holmes
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	
Canine Team: Handler	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
If safety becomes an issue please stop that action or scenario when observing or conducting any of the below listed items.	
Check all applicable boxes (if checked an explanation is required)	
Canine Showed Aggression Towards:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Explosives Items	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Canines or Other Animals	
<input type="checkbox"/> Handler	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adults	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Children	
<input type="checkbox"/> All People	
<input type="checkbox"/> Family Members at Home	
<input type="checkbox"/> Food	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (please describe if other):	
Explanation:	
Location the Aggression Had Occurred:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Home	
<input type="checkbox"/> Vehicle	
<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal	
<input type="checkbox"/> Kennel	
<input type="checkbox"/> Office	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public area	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (please describe if other):	
Explanation:	
Did the Aggression Occur:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> On Leash	
<input type="checkbox"/> Off Leash	
<input type="checkbox"/> Both On and Off Leash	
Explanation:	

In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the office <input type="checkbox"/> Kennel <input type="checkbox"/> Unrestrained
Did the canine display any aggressive behavior when: <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the office environment by quick movement <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the office environment by sound <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone in a blind corner Explanation:
In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the backyard and house (if allowed in house)
Did the canine display and aggressive behavior when: <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the home environment by quick movement <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the home environment by sound <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was possessive about items (toys) <input type="checkbox"/> Someone new feed the canine <input type="checkbox"/> Someone new pulled the canine's feed pan Explanation:
Did the canine display any aggressive behavior during the assessment? (Describe the incident) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Description (canines posture, crowds, demeanor, fight/flight, etc):
Is the canine's behavior influenced by the handler? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No How:
Did the handler take the appropriate corrective action needed to minimize the behavior? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Explanation:
Describe the corrective action plan and recommendations given by the CTES representative?

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Other Pertinent Information:

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : T. Corse	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. §
Date (Long Date Format):	January 25, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(f)
Reason for Trip:	Aggressive Assessment
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):</i>	
An initial review of the team's training records provided satisfactory comments regarding aggressive behavior and lack of knowledge for follow-up.	
Training Issue(s) <i>(Describe all issues):</i>	
Canine (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § displays aggressive behavior (barking and growling) to other canine when in various areas and within the checkpoint queue. Additionally, Canine (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § shows aggressive behavior when in his patrol vehicle if the public tries to approach the vehicle when Inspector (b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b) is present. The frequency of the protective behavior has and will continue to increase as the bond between Canine (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § and Inspector (b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b) strengthens.	
Corrective Action(s) Taken <i>(Describe all corrective actions):</i>	
RCTI Corse observed public interaction with (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § while in his kennel, at the main terminal area and while in the K-9 vehicle during patrol. RCTI Corse threaded a leash through the patrol vehicle cage and provided a clear, firm correction when canine (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § began to aggress. Canine (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § quickly understood the clear signal and the behavior quickly diminished during the two days of working with Inspector (b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b) and Canine (b)(3):49 U.S.C. §. Additionally, RCTI Corse showed Inspector (b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b) the importance of a clear and timely correction and the pre-cursor behaviors that Canine (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § exhibits before he becomes outwardly aggressive. RCTI Corse staged several, controlled, interactions between canine (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § and other program canines and enlisted the help of a third party to provide timely corrections if needed. Inspector (b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b) was given detailed instruction before and during each interaction. During the two days of training, Inspector (b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3) timing and confidence improved and the aggressive behavior improved. The benefit of third party correction for situations that require additional safety precautions was discussed. Lastly, RCTI Corse had a detailed discussion with Inspector (b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b) on appropriate correction timing, clear signals, marking positive behavior and why yelling can be a form of reinforcement.	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided *(Explain in Detail):*

Continue to provide a clear, firm signal to (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § while in all environments. Follow-up on obedience commands and do not cultivate an environment where the dog is allowed to make the decision. If a command is provided the canine needs to comply. Canine (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § is a high drive Labrador that looks to Inspector (b)(6):(b)(7)(C):(b) for behavior input and will try to push the limits when allowed. After a work schedule break, follow up with the corrections through the vehicle cage to ensure canine (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § does not regress.

It is recommended that training in a controlled environment with additional program canine with the intent of building handler confidence and practice providing a timely, firm correction.

The handler is encouraged to train with the RCTI. This will ensure any questions on the corrective actions given can be addressed. It is important to have clear communication between handler and trainer, in order to fix the deficiencies in a timely manner.

Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Aggressive Canine Behavioral Assessment

CTES Instructor/Trainer Name:	Dennis Ford
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3),49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	March 21 - 23, 2016
Canine Team:	(b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3)-49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
If safety becomes an issue please stop that action or scenario when observing or conducting any of the below listed items.	
Check all applicable boxes (if checked an explanation is required)	
Canine Showed Aggression Towards:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Explosives Items	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Canines or Other Animals	
<input type="checkbox"/> Handler	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adults	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Children	
<input type="checkbox"/> All People	
<input type="checkbox"/> Family Members at Home	
<input type="checkbox"/> Food	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please describe if other):	
Explanation: Any time another animal (canine) came into view, (b)(3),49 U.S.C. § would growl and bark. If people would approach the team while the team is not engaged in searching, the canine would growl only after the handler would tighten up on the leash and pull away.	
Location the Aggression Had Occurred:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Home	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vehicle	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Terminal	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Kennel	
<input type="checkbox"/> Office	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public area	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please describe if other):	
Explanation: Behavior tests were conducted in the above checked locations in which (b)(3),49 U.S.C. § continued to show signs of aggression towards other animals. While in the vehicle and in the kennel area the canine would growl and bark at people approaching the area.	
Did the Aggression Occur:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> On Leash	
<input type="checkbox"/> Off Leash	
<input type="checkbox"/> Both On and Off Leash	
Explanation: This canine is always on-leash. The handler does not feel comfortable having the canine off- leash.	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Briefly describe the incident(s) that occurred. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Isolated Incident or <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple Incidents
This information is according to the canine report. At approximately 1800-1815 hours on March 1, 2016; EDCH (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) was walking his assigned K9 (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § and his other personal canine, when (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § bit his neighbor's 10 year old daughter. The victim was visiting with her next door neighbor and in their front yard when (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) was walking home on the sidewalk. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) engaged in a brief conversation with his neighbor's son, and during this encounter (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § was sniffing the ground looking like he was going to relieve himself. The victim then approached (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) and asked if she could pet (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § EDCH (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) responded with NO, but before (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) could leave she reached down to pet (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § and the canine bit her hand.
How often has the aggressive behavior occurred?
Since the beginning of the teams pairing there have been minor incidents of growling and barking at other animals and has occurred often. Over the years the canine was known to lunge at people when they approach the canine team. In the past, the handler has only reinforced this behavior by tightening on the leash and pulling (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § back as people approach.
Do the handler's training records reflect the aggressive behavior?
After review of training records checked by Regional Canine Training Instructor Ford the handler did not put any entries that reflect aggressive behavior, however it is well known that this canine has issues with growling and lunging forward towards people as they approach the team.
What immediate action was taken by the handler for the aggressive behavior?
The handler pulls the canine away from people that approach the team and instructs people that they cannot pet the canine.
Describe the corrective action plan utilized by the handler for correcting the behavior?
The handler is constantly pulling the canine back. This type of correction has not been working. RCTI Ford has instructed the handler to relax on the leash as people approach and apply a quick pop of the leash and a Firm "No" if the canine makes any type of aggressive action. This will redirect the canine's attention, snapping him out of the aggressive act.
While the canine was with the handler:
Did the canine display any aggressive behavior when:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Someone entered the canine team's personal space
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was given direct eye contact in a passive approach
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone in a wheelchair
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone running/jogging
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the work environment by quick movement
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was given direct eye contact in an assertive approach
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone talking gesturing wildly (movement)
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was given verbal conflict (yelling/loud speaking)
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the work environment by someone waling unsteadily
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the work environment by sound

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

- The canine was approached by someone with a large object (cleaning cart/trash can)
- Someone entered the canine's vehicle

Explanation: The Canine is very unsure about people entering the team's space and when he sees other canines in the area. The canine is very vehicle protective, however after a few minutes of ignoring the behavior the RCTI was able to exit and enter the vehicle with little or no aggressive behavior from (b)(3), 49 U.S.C. § .

In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the office

- Kennel Unrestrained

Did the canine display any aggressive behavior when:

- The canine was startled in the office environment by quick movement
- The canine was startled in the office environment by sound
- The canine was approached by someone in a blind corner

Explanation: (b)(3), 49 U.S.C. § would display aggressive growling and barking when other handlers or canines entered the kennel area.

In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the backyard and house (if allowed in house)

Did the canine display and aggressive behavior when:

- The canine was startled in the home environment by quick movement
- The canine was startled in the home environment by sound
- The canine was possessive about items (toys)
- Someone new feed the canine
- Someone new pulled the canine's feed pan

Explanation: The handler has not had issues with the canine in the home environment.

Did the canine display any aggressive behavior during the assessment? (Describe the incident)

- Yes
- No

During the assessment the canine would constantly attempt to drive to the end of leash. The handler not knowing the canine's intentions, would keep the canine close and on a tight leash as not to pose any threats to passengers and staff. The canine lunged at the RCTI twice during the assessment. Actions taken by the RCTI resulted with RCTI Ford taking the leash and applying a few quick pops on the leash paired with a verbal "No", followed by redirect training.

Is the canine's behavior influenced by the handler?

- Yes
- No

How: When the handler first picked up the canine the canine made it a habit to lunge at people that would try to pet him and other canines in the area. The handler has developed an incorrect corrective action plan not understanding that his actions have reinforced and curved the canine's behavior of aggression. As stated throughout the report the handler would always tighten up on the leash and pull the canine away from people or animals that he presumed was a trigger to the canine's behavior.

Did the handler take the appropriate corrective action needed to minimize the behavior?

Yes

No

Explanation: The handler cannot be sure of the intentions of the canine when he goes to the end of leash so the handler airs on the side of caution, and does not let him have interaction with people or other canines. The handler tries to avoid situations in which the canine may begin to show signs of aggression.

Describe the corrective action plan and recommendations given by the CTES representative?

The handler needs to continue to stay vigilant in the presence of anyone approaching the team. He must also be aware of his surrounding while working with other animals in the area. RCTI Ford showed EDCH (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(7)(D) the importance of a clear and timely correction and the pre-cursor behaviors that canine (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § exhibits before he growls and becomes aggressive. RCTI Ford staged several, controlled, interactions between (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § and other program canines, and enlisted the help of a third party to provide timely corrections if needed. EDCH (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(7)(D) was given detailed instruction before and during each interaction. RCTI Ford had a detailed discussion with the handler on appropriate correction timing, clear signals, marking positive behavior, and how yelling can be a form of reinforcement.

Other Pertinent Information:

This canine is extremely high drive and has had numerous incidents throughout his career. This is the first incident that (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § has actually bit a person. This is only credited to the handler avoiding most triggers, and his constant communication with people when they approach the team.

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Aggressive Canine Behavioral Assessment

CTES Instructor/Trainer Name:	Andrew Chumbler
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	12/15/15
Canine Team:	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
If safety becomes an issue please stop that action or scenario when observing or conducting any of the below listed items.	
Check all applicable boxes (if checked an explanation is required)	
Canine Showed Aggression Towards:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Explosives Items	
<input type="checkbox"/> Canines or Other Animals	
<input type="checkbox"/> Handler	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adults	
<input type="checkbox"/> Children	
<input type="checkbox"/> All People	
<input type="checkbox"/> Family Members at Home	
<input type="checkbox"/> Food	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please describe if other):	
Explanation: An airline employee approached from the rear and startled the canine.	
Location the Aggression Had Occurred:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Home	
<input type="checkbox"/> Vehicle	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Terminal	
<input type="checkbox"/> Kennel	
<input type="checkbox"/> Office	
<input type="checkbox"/> Public area	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please describe if other):	
Explanation: Terminal 4 Adjacent Gate B27	
Did the Aggression Occur:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> On Leash	
<input type="checkbox"/> Off Leash	
<input type="checkbox"/> Both On and Off Leash	
Explanation: This canine is always on-leash the handler does not feel comfortable having the canine off- leash.	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Briefly describe the incident(s) that occurred. <input type="checkbox"/> Isolated Incident or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Multiple Incidents
This information is according to the canine report and conversation with Handler (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(7)(D) At 2025 on Dec 7, 2015 while performing their duties as a canine team at Terminal 4 Gate B27 canine (b)(3);(b)(6) bite an airline employee. Canine (b)(3);(b)(4) was in the down position, American Airlines ticket agent (b)(6) (b)(6) approached the canine. Handler (b)(6);(b)(7) while attempting to get (b)(3);(b)(4) up from her position (b)(3);(b)(4) lunged at (b)(6) biting her on right leg outer thigh just above the knee. According to the report the agent initially thought the canine had just scratched her. Further investigation revealed the canine the injury consisted of an abrasion about 3/4 inches in diameter along with teeth marks just above the abrasion. Phoenix fire was requested, first aid was administered. (b)(6) declined medical transport.
How often has the aggressive behavior occurred?
In the beginning when the team had been put together minor incidents happened often. Over the years the canine was known to give a cheap shot when the opportunity presented itself. The handler has made significant corrections in the past and quelled a majority of the undesired behavior.
Do the handler's training records reflect the aggressive behavior?
Of the records checked by this Regional Canine Training Instructor the handler did not put any entries that reflect aggressive behavior, however it is well known that this canine has issues with biting when the opportunity presents itself. It is to the handler's credit that an incident like this did not happen sooner. The handler is very good to let the people know around him that the canine is not safe to be around or to attempt to pet.
What immediate action was taken by the handler for the aggressive behavior?
The handler pulled the canine away from the airline employee while giving a verbal "no" command. The canine was removed from the area and put in the vehicle.
Describe the corrective action plan utilized by the handler for correcting the behavior?
This is the first time the behavior has manifested on a non-canine person. The handler is constantly pulling the canine back. If the handler was not as good at his job, this would have happened years ago.
While the canine was with the handler:
Did the canine display any aggressive behavior when:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Someone entered the canine team's personal space
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was given direct eye contact in a passive approach

- The canine was approached by someone in a wheelchair
- The canine was approached by someone running/jogging
- The canine was startled in the work environment by quick movement
- The canine was given direct eye contact in an assertive approach
- The canine was approached by someone talking gesturing wildly (movement)
- The canine was given verbal conflict (yelling/loud speaking)
- The canine was startled in the work environment by someone waling unsteadily
- The canine was startled in the work environment by sound
- The canine was approached by someone with a large object (cleaning cart/trash can)
- Someone entered the canine's vehicle

Explanation: The Airline employee came from behind while the canine was in the down position. It would sound as if the canine was startled, and bit the employee on the upper right leg. The canine is a very high drive working dog. She does not usually show outward signs of aggression toward animals or people. If the handler is not putting her to work fast enough she will bark at him in protest. You just can't determine what she is thinking at any given time.

In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the office

- Kennel Unrestrained

Did the canine display any aggressive behavior when:

- The canine was startled in the office environment by quick movement
- The canine was startled in the office environment by sound
- The canine was approached by someone in a blind corner

Explanation: The Airline employee came from behind while the canine was in the down position. The canine was startled and bit the employee on the upper right leg.

In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the backyard and house (if allowed in house)

Did the canine display and aggressive behavior when:

- The canine was startled in the home environment by quick movement
- The canine was startled in the home environment by sound
- The canine was possessive about items (toys)
- Someone new feed the canine
- Someone new pulled the canine's feed pan

Explanation: The handler has not had issues with the canine in the home environment.

Did the canine display any aggressive behavior during the assessment? (Describe the incident)

- Yes
 No

During the assessment the canine would constantly attempt to drive to the end of leash. The handler not knowing the canine's intentions would keep the canine close as not to pose any threats to

passengers and staff.

Is the canine's behavior influenced by the handler?

Yes

No

How: When the handler first picked up the canine the canine made it a habit to bite the handler. The handler has developed a corrective action and understanding of the canine and corrected the canine appropriately.

Did the handler take the appropriate corrective action needed to minimize the behavior?

Yes

No

Explanation: The handler cannot be sure of the intentions of the canine when she goes to the end of leash so the handler airs on the side of caution and does not let her have interaction with people other than trainer. The handler is constantly taking appropriate corrective action with this canine.

Describe the corrective action plan and recommendations given by the CTES representative?

The handler needs to continue to stay vigilant in the presence of anyone that is not trained to deal with this type of working dog. There is no way to determine when or where the canine is going to make contact with someone.

Other Pertinent Information:

This canine is extremely high drive and has had a number of incidents throughout her career. This is the first incident on a person other than training staff. This is a credit to the skills of the handler. The canine is constantly going to the end of leash lunging in the direction of passengers and staff. The handler cannot be sure of the intentions of the canine when she goes to the end of leash so the handler airs on the side of caution and does not let her have interaction with people.

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Aggressive Canine Behavioral Assessment

CTES Instructor/Trainer Name:	Andrew Chumbler
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	March 11, 2016
Canine Team:	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
If safety becomes an issue please stop that action or scenario when observing or conducting any of the below listed items.	
Check all applicable boxes (if checked an explanation is required)	
Canine Showed Aggression Towards:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Explosives Items	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Canines or Other Animals	
<input type="checkbox"/> Handler	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adults	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Children	
<input type="checkbox"/> All People	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Family Members at Home	
<input type="checkbox"/> Food	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please describe if other):	
Explanation: The canine has growled at both wife and kids at different times. The canine will generally not pay attention to the other canines in the house. When frustrated the canine will correct the other canines in the house if provoked or pressured into socialization with the pack. Canine will show aggression to the traveling public on a daily basis, see below.	
Location the Aggression Had Occurred:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Home	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vehicle	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Terminal	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Kennel	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Office	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public area	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please describe if other):	
Explanation: The incidents occur where people are present.	
Did the Aggression Occur:	
<input type="checkbox"/> On Leash	
<input type="checkbox"/> Off Leash	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both On and Off Leash	
Explanation:	
Handler does not feel safe with the canine being off leash around the public.	
Briefly describe the incident(s) that occurred. <input type="checkbox"/>Isolated Incident or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>Multiple Incidents	

How often has the aggressive behavior occurred?
The canine shows signs of aggression daily. The handler has only been with this canine a short time. This generally occurs around the first time the canine comes in contact with anyone.
Do the handler's training records reflect the aggressive behavior?
Yes the handler has been instructed to continue to document all incidences which reflect aggressive behavior by the canine. Some of the training log documentation will be included in this aggressive assessment.
What immediate action was taken by the handler for the aggressive behavior?
The handler will give a verbal "No" paired with a physical correction until the canine ceases the undesired behavior.
Describe the corrective action plan utilized by the handler for correcting the behavior?
Handler will need to maintain positive control of the canine at all times. In the event the canine does offer this undesired behavior the handler will need to physically and verbally correct until the undesired behavior has ceased.
While the canine was with the handler:
Did the canine display any aggressive behavior when:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Someone entered the canine team's personal space
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The canine was given direct eye contact in a passive approach
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone in a wheelchair
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone running/jogging
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the work environment by quick movement
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The canine was given direct eye contact in an assertive approach
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone talking gesturing wildly (movement)
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was given verbal conflict (yelling/loud speaking)
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the work environment by someone waling unsteadily
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the work environment by sound
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone with a large object (cleaning cart/trash can)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Someone entered the canine's vehicle
Explanation: This RCTI has spent days with the team. The first time the canine was introduced to the RCTI the canine acted calm until the canine was acknowledged. The canine showed teeth and barked and growled. The handler immediately corrected the behavior. After 5 minutes the canine appeared to be ok with the RCTI. Officer (b)(6) then took RCTI to the training venue. In the vehicle the canine started up again. The canine was verbally corrected by both handler and RCTI until the behavior stopped. At the venue the canine appeared to be ok. After the canine was rewarded for the find RCTI had no issue petting the canine.
In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the office
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Kennel <input type="checkbox"/> Unrestrained

Did the canine display any aggressive behavior when:

- The canine was startled in the office environment by quick movement
- The canine was startled in the office environment by sound
- The canine was approached by someone in a blind corner

Explanation: This would consist of Growling, barking and showing teeth.

In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the backyard and house (if allowed in house)

Did the canine display and aggressive behavior when:

- The canine was startled in the home environment by quick movement
- The canine was startled in the home environment by sound
- The canine was possessive about items (toys)
- Someone new feed the canine
- Someone new pulled the canine's feed pan

Explanation: When the canine is in the back yard or kenneled in the back yard there appears to be no issues until the canine is under pressure to socialize with the other canine.

Did the canine display any aggressive behavior during the assessment? (Describe the incident)

- Yes
- No

The in addition to the previously stated incident with the RCTI there was one other incident. The last day of the assessment a stranger to the canine was instructed to walk up to the handler and talk to the handler. The canine had no issue until the stranger acknowledged the canine looking into the canine eyes and in a friendly tone talked to the canine. The canine responded to the stranger by lunging briefly forward and growling, barking and showing teeth. The handler corrected the canine, and the canine remained uneasy until the canine went back to the vehicle.

Is the canine's behavior influenced by the handler?

- Yes
- No

How: It is not in this RCTI's opinion that the canine up to this point was negatively influenced by the handler. The handler's demeanor is solid and calm.

Did the handler take the appropriate corrective action needed to minimize the behavior?

- Yes
- No

Explanation: Yes, he does on a daily basis

Describe the corrective action plan and recommendations given by the CTES representative?

The handler needs to continue to stay vigilant and to be assertive while in the presence of the canine. It was stressed to be aware of his surroundings pay close attention to the people around the canine. The canine when out and about appears to be very approachable. This can be an accident waiting to happen. If the canine offers the undesired behavior and the surroundings can support good corrective action a strong verbal and physical correction has and will be necessary to correct the behavior.

Other Pertinent Information:

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

It is in this RCTI's option that the canine does not feel comfortable among large crowds. At some point the canine got over on someone and figured that if he growled and looked mean people would stay away. I believe the canine is exhibiting this behavior out of fear. If you have any further questions or concerns don't hesitate to contact the RCTI or Handler.

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Aggressive Canine Behavioral Assessment

CTES Instructor/Trainer Name:	Dennis Ford
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	July 25 - 26, 2016
Canine Team:	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
If safety becomes an issue please stop that action or scenario when observing or conducting any of the below listed items.	
Check all applicable boxes (if checked an explanation is required)	
Canine Showed Aggression Towards:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Explosives Items	
<input type="checkbox"/> Canines or Other Animals	
<input type="checkbox"/> Handler	
<input type="checkbox"/> Adults	
<input type="checkbox"/> Children	
<input type="checkbox"/> All People	
<input type="checkbox"/> Family Members at Home	
<input type="checkbox"/> Food	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please describe if other):	
Explanation: Canine showed no aggression at any time during the assessment while conducting RTTA.	
Location the Aggression Had Occurred:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Home	
<input type="checkbox"/> Vehicle	
<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal	
<input type="checkbox"/> Kennel	
<input type="checkbox"/> Office	
<input type="checkbox"/> Public area	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please describe if other):	
Explanation: Canine has a very mild temperament and is friendly.	
Did the Aggression Occur:	
<input type="checkbox"/> On Leash	
<input type="checkbox"/> Off Leash	
<input type="checkbox"/> Both On and Off Leash	
Explanation: N/A	
Briefly describe the incident(s) that occurred. <input type="checkbox"/>Isolated Incident or <input type="checkbox"/>Multiple Incidents	
The Aggressive Assessment was conducted due to the direction from FCC Joe Prado. After review of the team's record #233807 which indicated that the canine (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r) had an issue of biting/ nipping at passengers hands. The records also indicated that the canine was invasive towards passenger's crotch areas and could be intrusive at times.	

How often has the aggressive behavior occurred?
Review of the team's records showed a trend starting to develop while the canine was training in RTTA.
Do the handler's training records reflect the aggressive behavior?
After review of training records checked by Regional Canine Training Instructor Ford the handler noted a few entries that reflect aggressive behavior.
What immediate action was taken by the handler for the aggressive behavior?
The handler would give the canine a slight correction. This type of action was given to her from the instructors at CTC when she was in training.
Describe the corrective action plan utilized by the handler for correcting the behavior?
The handler would use the word "easy" in order to keep the canine from becoming invasive. This type of corrective action has actually induced the behavior. The word "easy" has become a trigger word for the canine. This action has not had any positive effect on correcting the behavior. RCTI Ford has instructed the handler not pair "easy" with the invasive behavior but instead to give a slight tug on the leash and redirect the canine attention with body and voice back into search mode.
While the canine was with the handler:
Did the canine display any aggressive behavior when:
<input type="checkbox"/> Someone entered the canine team's personal space
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was given direct eye contact in a passive approach
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone in a wheelchair
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone running/jogging
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the work environment by quick movement
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was given direct eye contact in an assertive approach
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone talking gesturing wildly (movement)
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was given verbal conflict (yelling/loud speaking)
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the work environment by someone waling unsteadily
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the work environment by sound
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone with a large object (cleaning cart/trash can)
<input type="checkbox"/> Someone entered the canine's vehicle
Explanation: None
In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the office
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Kennel <input type="checkbox"/> Unrestrained
Did the canine display any aggressive behavior when:
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the office environment by quick movement
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the office environment by sound
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone in a blind corner

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Explanation: The canine is very comfortable in kennel area.

In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the backyard and house (if allowed in house)

Did the canine display and aggressive behavior when:

- The canine was startled in the home environment by quick movement
- The canine was startled in the home environment by sound
- The canine was possessive about items (toys)
- Someone new feed the canine
- Someone new pulled the canine's feed pan

Explanation: The handler has not had issues with the canine in the home environment.

Did the canine display any aggressive behavior during the assessment? (Describe the incident)

- Yes
- No

RCTI Dennis Ford or FCC Joe Prado did not observe any aggressive behaviors during the assessment.

Is the canine's behavior influenced by the handler?

- Yes
- No

How: The handler tightening up on the leash causes the canine to pull away (opposition reflex) which in turn looks like the canine is being intrusive. The handler has developed a trigger word ("easy") that has reinforced and started to curve the canine's behavior of intrusiveness.

Did the handler take the appropriate corrective action needed to minimize the behavior?

- Yes
- No

Explanation: The handler took the RCTI's advice of loosening up on leash thus allowing the canine to search with no pressure, which in returned decreased the intrusive behavior.

Describe the corrective action plan and recommendations given by the CTES representative?

The handler needs to continue to stay aware in not using the trigger word "easy" She must also continue to stay loose on the leash and work the canine on the harness. RCTI Ford recommended that the team conduct at least three RTTA training scenarios a day (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

(b)(3):49 U.S.C § 114(r) PSCH (b)(6),(b)(7)(C) was given detailed instruction during each training scenario. RCTI Ford had a detailed discussion with the handler on appropriate correction timing, clear signals, marking positive behavior, conducting RTTA with the canine on the harness and not the choke chain, body positioning and leash control. All these training techniques can have positive or negative affect on the canine's training.

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Other Pertinent Information:

RCTI Ford recommended to the handler that she stay positive and consistent in the training of her assigned canine. Repetition is a key into developing a strong PSC.

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Aggressive Canine Behavioral Assessment

CTES Instructor/Trainer Name:	Andrew Chumbler
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	March 11, 2016
Canine Team:	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
If safety becomes an issue please stop that action or scenario when observing or conducting any of the below listed items.	
Check all applicable boxes (if checked an explanation is required)	
Canine Showed Aggression Towards:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Explosives Items	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Canines or Other Animals	
<input type="checkbox"/> Handler	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adults	
<input type="checkbox"/> Children	
<input type="checkbox"/> All People	
<input type="checkbox"/> Family Members at Home	
<input type="checkbox"/> Food	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (please describe if other):	
Explanation: The canine has growled and according to the handler has attempted to bite at both passengers and friends at different times. The canine has bit the other canine in the house. Canine will show aggression to the traveling public and balloons. See attached log.	
Location the Aggression Had Occurred:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Home	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vehicle	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Terminal	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Kennel	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Office	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public area	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please describe if other):	
Explanation: The incidents occur where people are present.	
Did the Aggression Occur:	
<input type="checkbox"/> On Leash	
<input type="checkbox"/> Off Leash	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both On and Off Leash	
Explanation:	
Handler does not feel safe with the canine being off leash around the public.	
Briefly describe the incident(s) that occurred. <input type="checkbox"/>Isolated Incident or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>Multiple Incidents	

How often has the aggressive behavior occurred?
The canine shows signs of aggression daily. The handler has only been with this canine a short time.
Do the handler's training records reflect the aggressive behavior?
Yes the handler has been instructed to continue to document all incidences which reflect aggressive behavior by the canine. Some of the training log documentation will be included in this aggressive assessment.
What immediate action was taken by the handler for the aggressive behavior?
The handler will give a verbal "No" paired with a physical correction until the canine ceases the undesired behavior.
Describe the corrective action plan utilized by the handler for correcting the behavior?
Handler will need to maintain positive control of the canine at all times. In the event the canine does offer this undesired behavior the handler will need to physically and verbally correct until the undesired behavior has ceased.
While the canine was with the handler:
Did the canine display any aggressive behavior when:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Someone entered the canine team's personal space
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The canine was given direct eye contact in a passive approach
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone in a wheelchair
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone running/jogging
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the work environment by quick movement
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The canine was given direct eye contact in an assertive approach
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone talking gesturing wildly (movement)
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was given verbal conflict (yelling/loud speaking)
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the work environment by someone waling unsteadily
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the work environment by sound
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone with a large object (cleaning cart/trash can)
<input type="checkbox"/> Someone entered the canine's vehicle
Explanation: This RCTI has spent days with the team. The first time the RCTI saw the canine in the kennel the canine would just stare and growl at the RCTI. RCTI corrected the behavior with a verbal correction until the growling stopped and was calm. After about 3 minutes the canine appeared to be ok with the RCTI. Another day RCTI Chumbler took Handler (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(7)(D) to the baggage claim. The team walked around the area for approximately 30 minutes. In the area the canine appeared to be ok. We attempted to trigger a negative response but the trials resulted in no negative change in the canine's behavior. The canine by the end of the assessment was calm and would allow the RCTI to pet and play with him.
In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the office
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Kennel <input type="checkbox"/> Unrestrained

Did the canine display any aggressive behavior when:

- The canine was startled in the office environment by quick movement
- The canine was startled in the office environment by sound
- The canine was approached by someone in a blind corner

Explanation: No, the times and places are unpredictable.

In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the backyard and house (if allowed in house)

Did the canine display and aggressive behavior when:

- The canine was startled in the home environment by quick movement
- The canine was startled in the home environment by sound
- The canine was possessive about items (toys)
- Someone new feed the canine
- Someone new pulled the canine's feed pan

Explanation: None of the above

Did the canine display any aggressive behavior during the assessment? (Describe the incident)

- Yes
- No

Other than the first day as previously stated with the cage incident with the RCTI there was no other incident.

Is the canine's behavior influenced by the handler?

- Yes
- No

How: It is not in this RCTI's opinion that the canine up to this point was negatively influenced by the handler. The handler's demeanor is solid and calm.

Did the handler take the appropriate corrective action needed to minimize the behavior?

- Yes
- No

Explanation: Yes, he does on a daily basis.

Describe the corrective action plan and recommendations given by the CTES representative?

The handler needs to continue to stay vigilant and to be assertive while in the presence of the canine. It was stressed to be aware of his surroundings pay close attention to the people around the canine. The canine when out and about appears to be very approachable. This can be an accident waiting to happen. If the canine offers the undesired behavior and the surroundings can support good corrective action a strong verbal and physical correction has and will be necessary to correct the behavior.

Other Pertinent Information:

It is in this RCTI's option that the canine according to the handler's records is unpredictable. The handler has no way of predicting the actions of the canine on a daily basis. We had not been able to identify the trigger for the canine's negative behavior. This canine was selected for PSC. It is this RCTI's option that the canine not be used PSC and is a liability to program. If you have any further questions or concerns don't hesitate to contact the RCTI or Handler.

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report



Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Aggressive Canine Behavioral Assessment

CTES Instructor/Trainer Name:	Zachariah C. Greenfield
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	June, 20 2016
Canine Team:	(b)(6):(b)(7)(C):(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
If safety becomes an issue please stop that action or scenario when observing or conducting any of the below listed items.	
Check all applicable boxes (if checked an explanation is required)	
Canine Showed Aggression Towards:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Explosives Items	
<input type="checkbox"/> Canines or Other Animals	
<input type="checkbox"/> Handler	
<input type="checkbox"/> Adults	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Children	
<input type="checkbox"/> All People	
<input type="checkbox"/> Family Members at Home	
<input type="checkbox"/> Food	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please describe if other):	
Explanation:	
Canine (b)(3):49 showed unprovoked aggressive behavior towards two different children in a 15 minute search at the bus terminal. Both time she tried to bit the children and if it were not do to the fast reaction of her handler then the children would have been bitten.	
Location the Aggression Had Occurred:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Home	
<input type="checkbox"/> Vehicle	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Terminal	
<input type="checkbox"/> Kennel	
<input type="checkbox"/> Office	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public area	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please describe if other):	
Explanation:	
Did the Aggression Occur:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> On Leash	
<input type="checkbox"/> Off Leash	
<input type="checkbox"/> Both On and Off Leash	
Explanation:	

Briefly describe the incident(s) that occurred. <input type="checkbox"/> Isolated Incident or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Multiple Incidents
In the last 14 months the canine has 14 documented incidents of aggressive behavior towards children.
How often has the aggressive behavior occurred?
The aggression happens every time there is a child in the search area.
Do the handler's training records reflect the aggressive behavior?
The handlers records reflect the aggressive behavior happening 14 times in the last 6 months, but the handlers has said that he has not documented all the times it occurred.
What immediate action was taken by the handler for the aggressive behavior?
The handler would immediately give the canine a choke chain correction paired with a "NO" but the <u>canine would ignore both the physical and verbal commands. The handler would then escape the canine away from the child.</u> (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Describe the corrective action plan utilized by the handler for correcting the behavior?
The handler used (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) CTEA placements to get the canine reengaged into the search and ignore the child or children but with no luck. He then went to game play. The canine would drop the reward to try and bit a child. He tried using both escape and punishment, neither of which worked.
While the canine was with the handler:
Did the canine display any aggressive behavior when:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Someone entered the canine team's personal space
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was given direct eye contact in a passive approach
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone in a wheelchair
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone running/jogging
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the work environment by quick movement
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was given direct eye contact in an assertive approach
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone talking gesturing wildly (movement)
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was given verbal conflict (yelling/loud speaking)
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the work environment by someone waling unsteadily
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the work environment by sound
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone with a large object (cleaning cart/trash can)
<input type="checkbox"/> Someone entered the canine's vehicle
Explanation:

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the office <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Kennel <input type="checkbox"/> Unrestrained
Did the canine display any aggressive behavior when: <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the office environment by quick movement <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the office environment by sound <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone in a blind corner Explanation:
In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the backyard and house (if allowed in house) Did the canine display and aggressive behavior when: <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the home environment by quick movement <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the home environment by sound <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was possessive about items (toys) <input type="checkbox"/> Someone new feed the canine <input type="checkbox"/> Someone new pulled the canine's feed pan Explanation:
Did the canine display any aggressive behavior during the assessment? (Describe the incident) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Description (canines posture, crowds, demeanor, fight/flight, etc): Canine ^{(b)(3)} ₄₉ showed unprovoked aggressive behavior towards two different children in a 15 minute search at the bus terminal. Both time she tried to bit the children and if it were not do to the fast reaction of her handler then the children would have been bitten.
Is the canine's behavior influenced by the handler? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No How:
Did the handler take the appropriate corrective action needed to minimize the behavior? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Explanation:

Describe the corrective action plan and recommendations given by the RCTI?

It is my recommendation due to the past history of the canine and the behaviors exhibited during the assessment that the canine be removed from the program and the handler be assigned a new canine. It is also my recommendation that the canine be immediately removed from operationally status.

Other Pertinent Information:

The handler has expressed a desire to adopt the canine. The handler is young and does not have any children at home.

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Aggressive Canine Behavioral Assessment

CTES Instructor/Trainer Name:	RCTI Jakub Holmes
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	June 21, 2016
Canine Team:	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

If safety becomes an issue please stop that action or scenario when observing or conducting any of the below listed items.

Check all applicable boxes (if checked an explanation is required)

Canine Showed Aggression Towards:

- Explosives Items
- Canines or Other Animals
- Handler
- Adults
- Children
- All People
- Family Members at Home
- Food
- Other (please describe if other):

Explanation:
Through discussion with the Officer (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(and looking at training records EDC (b)(3):49 has shown a tendency to aggressively nudge CETA plants. The canine has not bitten or pawed at the CETA. RCTI Liz Hurst has given Officer (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(corrective actions towards this aggressive nudging.

Based off of the incident reports and speaking to Officer (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(EDC (b)(3):49 has been involved in two separate incidents of biting people. The first incident was related to a crowded area and loose fitting clothing. It was determined through the assessment that this incident is related to canine aggression.

Location the Aggression Had Occurred:

- Home
- Vehicle
- Terminal
- Kennel
- Office
- Public area
- Other (please describe if other):

Explanation:
This incident occurred in a public fenced in area for breaking animals directly outside of (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) Airport.

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Did the Aggression Occur:

- On Leash
- Off Leash
- Both On and Off Leash

Explanation:

On 6/17/2016 EDC (b)(3):49 was off leash inside a fenced in park directly outside the (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) Airport. (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Briefly describe the incident(s) that occurred. Isolated Incident or Multiple Incidents

On 6/17/2016 at approximately 1540 hours, Officer (b)(6):(b)(7)(C):(b)(3):49 and his EDC (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) stopped at a fenced dog park directly outside the (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) Airport. Officer (b)(6):(b)(7)(C):(b)(3):49 noticed a passenger, (b)(6) and her dog inside the fenced dog park and waited for them to exit before entering with EDC (b)(3):49. As (b)(6) exited, her dog, described as a Yorkshire Terrier, lunged and barked at EDC (b)(3):49. Officer (b)(6):(b)(7)(C):(b)(3):49 held (b)(3):49 on a short safety leash while (b)(6) (b)(6) gained control of her dog. Officer (b)(6):(b)(7)(C):(b)(3):49 stated that he specifically told (b)(6) to take her dog behind some bushes and take the long way out of the park area to keep distance between the two dogs. As Officer (b)(6):(b)(7)(C):(b)(3):49 entered the fenced area noticed that there were two bags inside and went to retrieve them. At this time EDC (b)(3):49 ran past Officer (b)(6):(b)(7)(C):(b)(3):49 towards the gate of the fenced in area. Officer (b)(6):(b)(7)(C):(b)(3):49 stated that (b)(6) was leaning over the gate with her dog in her arms. Officer (b)(6):(b)(7)(C):(b)(3):49 stated that EDC (b)(3):49 then lunged toward the fence and injured (b)(6) ring finger. Officer (b)(6):(b)(7)(C):(b)(3):49 stated that he yelled no and immediately gained control of EDC (b)(3):49. At this time (b)(6) stated that she was bit and was bleeding from her finger. Officer (b)(6):(b)(7)(C):(b)(3):49 requested medical assistance and notified his supervisor Lt. (b)(6):(b)(7)(C):(b)(3):49 (b)(6) repeatedly stated that she did not want medical attention. (b)(6) also informed Sgt (b)(6):(b)(7)(C):(b)(3):49 that her dog can be aggressive and her dog provoked EDC (b)(3):49 (b)(6) was transported to (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) Medical Center to receive stitches in her finger.

How often has the aggressive behavior occurred?

EDC (b)(3):49 has a previous bite incident on 5/2/2013 where the canine bit a woman on the hip. The bite was attributed to the woman being very close in a crowded environment along with wearing loose clothing. The canine has no other aggressive incidents other than on 6/17/2016.

Do the handler's training records reflect the aggressive behavior?

The canine's records and the handler stated that EDC (b)(3):49 has shown aggressive nudging on CETA plants. The handler has been given a corrective action plan by RCTI Liz Hurst. The aggressive nudging was not witnessed during the aggressive assessment.

What immediate action was taken by the handler for the aggressive behavior?

The handler stated that he has previously given sharp corrections to (b)(3) when she has shown any type of behaviors that could lead to aggression. It was determined through the assessment that a sharp correction is only escalating the canines aggression towards other canines.

Describe the corrective action plan utilized by the handler for correcting the behavior?

The handler was instructed to work on recognition of aggressive behaviors before they escalate into physical aggression. The handler was instructed to calmly apply upward pressure on the choke chain and to physically put the canine into the sit position. The handler was also instructed to hold the upward pressure on the choke chain until the canine has stopped all signs of aggression. Once the canine has ceased all aggressive behaviors then the handler can release the upward pressure. This action was implemented during the aggressive assessment which produced the desired response and de-escalation of aggressive behaviors from the canine.

While the canine was with the handler:

Did the canine display any aggressive behavior when:

- Someone entered the canine team's personal space
- The canine was given direct eye contact in a passive approach
- The canine was approached by someone in a wheelchair
- The canine was approached by someone running/jogging
- The canine was startled in the work environment by quick movement
- The canine was given direct eye contact in an assertive approach
- The canine was approached by someone talking gesturing wildly (movement)
- The canine was given verbal conflict (yelling/loud speaking)
- The canine was startled in the work environment by someone waling unsteadily
- The canine was startled in the work environment by sound
- The canine was approached by someone with a large object (cleaning cart/trash can)
- Someone entered the canine's vehicle

Explanation:

The canine showed no aggression towards multiple scenarios and different role players.

In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the office

Kennel Unrestrained

Did the canine display any aggressive behavior when:

- The canine was startled in the office environment by quick movement
- The canine was startled in the office environment by sound
- The canine was approached by someone in a blind corner

Explanation:

The canine is kept in a kennel in office environments. There were no signs of aggression while in the kennel.

In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the backyard and house (if allowed in house)

Did the canine display and aggressive behavior when:

- The canine was startled in the home environment by quick movement
- The canine was startled in the home environment by sound
- The canine was possessive about items (toys)
- Someone new feed the canine
- Someone new pulled the canine's feed pan

Explanation:

The canine showed no aggression during any of the scenarios.

Did the canine display any aggressive behavior during the assessment? (Describe the incident)

Yes
 No

Description (canines posture, crowds, demeanor, fight/flight, etc):

The canine was put through all of the standard testing listed on the assessment. No aggression was seen through these tests. RCTI Holmes then asked fellow (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) Police Department handlers to bring in their EDC to see the reaction from EDC (b)(3):49. A female Labrador was brought into the general area of (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) immediately started whining and was attempting to get close to the Labrador. (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) body became stiff and alert as the Labrador was in the area. Officer (b)(6):(b)(7)(C):49 was instructed to give calm upward pressure on the choke chain and place (b)(3):49 into the sit position until she showed no signs of aggression. The Labrador was then walked in the general vicinity of (b)(3):49 (b)(3):49 immediately started barking and was attempting to lunge at the Labrador. Officer (b)(6):(b)(7)(C):49 gave calm upward pressure on the choke chain and put (b)(3):49 into the sit position. This trial was conducted several times until (b)(3):49 showed avoidance behavior toward the Labrador. Two other (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) Police Department EDC's were also brought in with the same scenario conducted. Each session showed better results from EDC (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) did show initial canine aggression but in the end was showing avoidance towards other canines.

Is the canine's behavior influenced by the handler?
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No How: The handler is not influencing the canine aggression.
Did the handler take the appropriate corrective action needed to minimize the behavior?
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Explanation: After Officer (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b) was explained the corrective action he was able to apply the appropriate correction to EDC (b)(3);49 I I C R S
Describe the corrective action plan and recommendations given by the CTES representative?
Officer (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b) was instructed to give calm upward pressure on the choke chain and to place (b)(3);49 into the sit position until all aggressive behaviors have ceased. Once the aggressive behaviors have ceased then Officer (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b) should release the upward choke chain pressure. Officer (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b) was explained to stop the behavior at the lowest level not allowing it to escalate to physical aggression. Officer (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b) was given examples of what the lowest level of aggression will look like in regards to EDC (b)(3);49 Officer (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b) was also instructed to apply this corrective action in various locations to eliminate any other aggressive behaviors.
Other Pertinent Information:
EDC (b)(3);49 did not show any aggression throughout the assessment except when other canines were brought into the area. Corrective actions were applied during the assessment and EDC (b)(3);49 responded well. Based off of the description of the incident and behaviors during the assessment this incident looks to be related solely to canine aggression.

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Aggressive Canine Behavioral Assessment

CTES Instructor/Trainer Name:	Douglas Bonar
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3),49 U.S.C. § 114(f)
Date (Long Date Format):	June 13, 2016
Canine Team:	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3),49 U.S.C. § 114(f)
If safety becomes an issue please stop that action or scenario when observing or conducting any of the below listed items.	
Check all applicable boxes (if checked an explanation is required)	
Canine Showed Aggression Towards:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Explosives Items	
<input type="checkbox"/> Canines or Other Animals	
<input type="checkbox"/> Handler	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adults	
<input type="checkbox"/> Children	
<input type="checkbox"/> All People	
<input type="checkbox"/> Family Members at Home	
<input type="checkbox"/> Food	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please describe if other):	
Explanation: A male passenger walked up to canine team in ticketing area, as passenger was leaving canine lunged at passenger's leg.	
Location the Aggression Had Occurred:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Home	
<input type="checkbox"/> Vehicle	
<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal	
<input type="checkbox"/> Kennel	
<input type="checkbox"/> Office	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public area	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please describe if other):	
Explanation: Ticketing area.	
Did the Aggression Occur:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> On Leash	
<input type="checkbox"/> Off Leash	
<input type="checkbox"/> Both On and Off Leash	
Explanation:	

Briefly describe the incident(s) that occurred. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Isolated Incident or <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple Incidents
A male passenger walked up to canine team in ticketing area, as passenger was leaving canine lunged at passenger's leg. Handler was uncertain if canine attempted to bite his leg, but did state canine barked when lunging. Handler demonstrated appropriate action with leash control of canine and situation did not escalate. Handler stated canine went back to passive behavior immediately after.
How often has the aggressive behavior occurred?
Canine (b)(3) 49 has displayed no other incidents of aggression.
Do the handler's training records reflect the aggressive behavior?
Incident is listed under CWS record #1549
What immediate action was taken by the handler for the aggressive behavior?
Handler used appropriate action at time of incident by using leash and correction collar to prevent canine from making contact with passenger.
Describe the corrective action plan utilized by the handler for correcting the behavior?
While the canine was with the handler:
Did the canine display any aggressive behavior when:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Someone entered the canine team's personal space
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was given direct eye contact in a passive approach
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone in a wheelchair
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone running/jogging
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the work environment by quick movement
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was given direct eye contact in an assertive approach
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone talking gesturing wildly (movement)
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was given verbal conflict (yelling/loud speaking)
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the work environment by someone waling unsteadily
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the work environment by sound
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone with a large object (cleaning cart/trash can)
<input type="checkbox"/> Someone entered the canine's vehicle
Explanation:

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the office <input type="checkbox"/> Kennel <input type="checkbox"/> Unrestrained
Did the canine display any aggressive behavior when: <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the office environment by quick movement <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the office environment by sound <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone in a blind corner Explanation: N/A
In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the backyard and house (if allowed in house) Did the canine display and aggressive behavior when: <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the home environment by quick movement <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the home environment by sound <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was possessive about items (toys) <input type="checkbox"/> Someone new feed the canine <input type="checkbox"/> Someone new pulled the canine's feed pan Explanation: N/A
Did the canine display any aggressive behavior during the assessment? (Describe the incident) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Description (canines posture, crowds, demeanor, fight/flight, etc):
Is the canine's behavior influenced by the handler? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No How:
Did the handler take the appropriate corrective action needed to minimize the behavior? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Explanation:
Describe the corrective action plan and recommendations given by the CTES representative? The canine was taken to ticketing area of terminal, away from, but around passengers. Canine (b)(3) 49 at no time displayed aggression towards handler or anyone else in area.

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Once determined safe, I had a few people approach canine team at a safe distance, resembling event that caused (b)(3):
49 to lunge at passenger. As these people approached and left area, canine displayed no aggression or behavior that would lead to aggression and during two of these exercises never left the down position.

I do not believe canine (b)(3):
49 is a threat in a passenger traveling environment. However, handler should be attentive at all times when passengers approach and control people from coming too close to canine.

Other Pertinent Information:

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Aggressive Canine Behavioral Assessment

CTES Instructor/Trainer Name:	Charles Rotenberry / RCTI-S
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	January 26, 2016
Canine Team:	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
If safety becomes an issue please stop that action or scenario when observing or conducting any of the below listed items.	
Check all applicable boxes (if checked an explanation is required)	
Canine Showed Aggression Towards:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Explosives Items	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Canines or Other Animals	
<input type="checkbox"/> Handler	
<input type="checkbox"/> Adults	
<input type="checkbox"/> Children	
<input type="checkbox"/> All People	
<input type="checkbox"/> Family Members at Home	
<input type="checkbox"/> Food	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please describe if other):	
Explanation: See below description	
Location the Aggression Had Occurred:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Home	
<input type="checkbox"/> Vehicle	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Terminal	
<input type="checkbox"/> Kennel	
<input type="checkbox"/> Office	
<input type="checkbox"/> Public area	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please describe if other):	
Explanation: See below description	
Did the Aggression Occur:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> On Leash	
<input type="checkbox"/> Off Leash	
<input type="checkbox"/> Both On and Off Leash	
Explanation:	

In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the office <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Kennel <input type="checkbox"/> Unrestrained
Did the canine display any aggressive behavior when: <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the office environment by quick movement <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the office environment by sound <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone in a blind corner Explanation: N/A
In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the backyard and house (if allowed in house)
Did the canine display and aggressive behavior when: <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the home environment by quick movement <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the home environment by sound <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was possessive about items (toys) <input type="checkbox"/> Someone new feed the canine <input type="checkbox"/> Someone new pulled the canine's feed pan Explanation: N/A
Did the canine display any aggressive behavior during the assessment? (Describe the incident) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Description (canines posture, crowds, demeanor, fight/flight, etc):
Is the canine's behavior influenced by the handler? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No How:
Did the handler take the appropriate corrective action needed to minimize the behavior? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Explanation: See below. No further aggressive behavior was observed by RCTI-S.
Describe the corrective action plan and recommendations given by the CTES representative? Handler will verify via personal and third party assessments that no pets are in the utilization area prior to searching. Additionally, EDCH-I (b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(7)(D) will verbalize the presence of a detection canine working in the area.
Other Pertinent Information:

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report



Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Aggressive Canine Behavioral Assessment

CTES Instructor/Trainer Name:	Charles Rotenberry
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	February 1, 2016
Canine Team:	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C § 114(r)
If safety becomes an issue please stop that action or scenario when observing or conducting any of the below listed items.	
Check all applicable boxes (if checked an explanation is required)	
Canine Showed Aggression Towards:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Explosives Items	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Canines or Other Animals	
<input type="checkbox"/> Handler	
<input type="checkbox"/> Adults	
<input type="checkbox"/> Children	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All People	
<input type="checkbox"/> Family Members at Home	
<input type="checkbox"/> Food	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please describe if other):	
Explanation: (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) is aggressive towards all animals. (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) is considered a dog fighter. Handler has to constantly scan his area for patrons traveling with their pets or walking by the subway station. Spot does not like to be abruptly approached by anyone (Adults or Children). He has lunged toward people running multiple times. On several occasions the handlers has prevented a dog bite by ensuring the dog is on a short/safety leash and constantly monitoring his area. (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Location the Aggression Had Occurred:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Home	
<input type="checkbox"/> Vehicle	
<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Kennel	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Office	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public area	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please describe if other):	
Explanation: Due to (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) aggressive behavior he cannot be brought into the office. As explained in previous paragraph, he aggresses on the traveling public that approaches him too closely or run past him. When extracting (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) from the kennels all personnel must be on guard.	

Did the Aggression Occur:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> On Leash <input type="checkbox"/> Off Leash <input type="checkbox"/> Both On and Off Leash Explanation: It is not safe to have (b)(3): 49 off leash. His aggression has always occurred on leash.
Briefly describe the incident(s) that occurred. <input type="checkbox"/> Isolated Incident or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Multiple Incidents
Please see previous explanations.
How often has the aggressive behavior occurred?
In any public setting.
Do the handler's training records reflect the aggressive behavior?
No. Handler was the K-9 Supervisor and the FCC (Echeandia) had difficulty getting him enter training and utilization records.
What immediate action was taken by the handler for the aggressive behavior?
Verbal and physical correction to stop the aggressive behavior and then handler escaped canine from the area.
Describe the corrective action plan utilized by the handler for correcting the behavior?
See above. FCC was notified of the canine's aggressive behavior in the past. FCC recommended an aggressive assessment but when the subject was broached the Chief of Police stated if that dog had to be replaced so would the Sergeant.
While the canine was with the handler:
Did the canine display any aggressive behavior when: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Someone entered the canine team's personal space <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was given direct eye contact in a passive approach <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone in a wheelchair <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone running/jogging <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the work environment by quick movement

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

- The canine was given direct eye contact in an assertive approach
- The canine was approached by someone talking gesturing wildly (movement)
- The canine was given verbal conflict (yelling/loud speaking)
- The canine was startled in the work environment by someone waling unsteadily
- The canine was startled in the work environment by sound
- The canine was approached by someone with a large object (cleaning cart/trash can)
- Someone entered the canine's vehicle

Explanation: Previous questions address checked boxes.

In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the office

- Kennel Unrestrained

Did the canine display any aggressive behavior when:

- The canine was startled in the office environment by quick movement
- The canine was startled in the office environment by sound
- The canine was approached by someone in a blind corner

Explanation: Demonstrated clear signs of aggression.

In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the backyard and house (if allowed in house)

Did the canine display and aggressive behavior when:

- The canine was startled in the home environment by quick movement
- The canine was startled in the home environment by sound
- The canine was possessive about items (toys)
- Someone new feed the canine
- Someone new pulled the canine's feed pan

Explanation: (b)(3) cannot be trusted even with the handler's children.

Did the canine display any aggressive behavior during the assessment? (Describe the incident)

- Yes
- No

Is the canine's behavior influenced by the handler?

- Yes
- No

How:

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Did the handler take the appropriate corrective action needed to minimize the behavior?

Yes

No

Explanation: Verbal and physical correction to stop the aggressive behavior and then handler escaped canine from the area.

Describe the corrective action plan and recommendations given by the CTES representative?

Retire canine (b)(3) 49
U.S.C. § 114(r)

Other Pertinent Information:

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3)-49
U.S.C. § 114(r) has been reassigned from the K-9 Unit by the Chief of Police. Due to the K-9's age and aggressive tendencies I recommend retirement. Where (b)(3) 49 is kenneled while the handler was away on annual leave or TDY to school he is listed as aggressive. When he goes to the veterinarian he must be muzzled and also listed as aggressive. If this dog is reassigned it will be only a matter of time before he bites someone.

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Aggressive Canine Behavioral Assessment

CTES Instructor/Trainer Name:	McKenzie
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	March 1, 2016
Canine Team:	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
If safety becomes an issue please stop that action or scenario when observing or conducting any of the below listed items.	
Check all applicable boxes (if checked an explanation is required)	
Canine Showed Aggression Towards:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Explosives Items	
<input type="checkbox"/> Canines or Other Animals	
<input type="checkbox"/> Handler	
<input type="checkbox"/> Adults	
<input type="checkbox"/> Children	
<input type="checkbox"/> All People	
<input type="checkbox"/> Family Members at Home	
<input type="checkbox"/> Food	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (please describe if other):	
Explanation: The canine showed aggression/ defended herself against a person who stepped on her leg.	
Location the Aggression Had Occurred:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Home	
<input type="checkbox"/> Vehicle	
<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal	
<input type="checkbox"/> Kennel	
<input type="checkbox"/> Office	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public area	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please describe if other):	
Explanation: The incident occurred at a function while the team was supporting the Secret Service at a public venue.	
Did the Aggression Occur:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> On Leash	
<input type="checkbox"/> Off Leash	
<input type="checkbox"/> Both On and Off Leash	
Explanation: Handler had the canine in the down position while on leash.	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Briefly describe the incident(s) that occurred. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Isolated Incident or <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple Incidents
While working a detail with the Secret Service, (b)(6):(b) had (b)(3):49 in the down position relaxing behind SS personnel. A passerby walked behind the line of SS and unintentionally stepped on canine (b)(3):49 knee. (b)(3):49 in an attempt to stop the pain, bit the passerby in the leg leaving a bruise. No other outward signs of aggression were noted by the handler or witnesses.
How often has the aggressive behavior occurred?
This canine has never shown outward signs of aggression outside of this isolated event.
Do the handler's training records reflect the aggressive behavior?
N/A
What immediate action was taken by the handler for the aggressive behavior?
Handler maintained positive control of the canine.
Describe the corrective action plan utilized by the handler for correcting the behavior?
No punishment was delivered to the canine because the handler thought the canine had just moved away from the passerby. It was shortly after the incident that the handler realized that a bite had occurred.
While the canine was with the handler:
Did the canine display any aggressive behavior when: <input type="checkbox"/> Someone entered the canine team's personal space <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was given direct eye contact in a passive approach <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone in a wheelchair <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone running/jogging <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the work environment by quick movement <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was given direct eye contact in an assertive approach <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone talking gesturing wildly (movement) <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was given verbal conflict (yelling/loud speaking) <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the work environment by someone waling unsteadily <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the work environment by sound <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone with a large object (cleaning cart/trash can) <input type="checkbox"/> Someone entered the canine's vehicle Explanation: No aggression noted.

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the office <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Kennel <input type="checkbox"/> Unrestrained
Did the canine display any aggressive behavior when: <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the office environment by quick movement <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the office environment by sound <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone in a blind corner Explanation: No aggression noted.
In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the backyard and house (if allowed in house)
Did the canine display and aggressive behavior when: <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the home environment by quick movement <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the home environment by sound <input type="checkbox"/> The canine was possessive about items (toys) <input type="checkbox"/> Someone new feed the canine <input type="checkbox"/> Someone new pulled the canine's feed pan Explanation: No aggression noted.
Did the canine display any aggressive behavior during the assessment? (Describe the incident) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Description (canines posture, crowds, demeanor, fight/flight, etc):
Is the canine's behavior influenced by the handler? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No How:
Did the handler take the appropriate corrective action needed to minimize the behavior? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Explanation: N/A
Describe the corrective action plan and recommendations given by the CTES representative? Maintain situational awareness when people may be near the canine. Also, do not allow people to walk around, or over, the team in crowded areas.

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Other Pertinent Information:

A comprehensive assessment of the canine was conducted with many people around. During all of the tests, the canine did not exhibit any aggressive tendencies. The bite was an appropriate response to being stepped on. The extent of the bite was only bruising and no skin was broken. This shows that the canine did not pursue the passerby once the pain was removed and only applied enough of a bite to escape the pain.

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Aggressive Canine Behavioral Assessment

CTES Instructor/Trainer Name:	Charles Rotenberry
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	July 24, 2016
Canine Team:	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
If safety becomes an issue please stop that action or scenario when observing or conducting any of the below listed items.	
Check all applicable boxes (if checked an explanation is required)	
Canine Showed Aggression Towards:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Explosives Items	
<input type="checkbox"/> Canines or Other Animals	
<input type="checkbox"/> Handler	
<input type="checkbox"/> Adults	
<input type="checkbox"/> Children	
<input type="checkbox"/> All People	
<input type="checkbox"/> Family Members at Home	
<input type="checkbox"/> Food	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (please describe if other):	
Explanation:	
Canine (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) aggressed toward handler who was caring for him while the assigned handler is on annual leave. See attached reports.	
Location the Aggression Had Occurred:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Home	
<input type="checkbox"/> Vehicle	
<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Kennel	
<input type="checkbox"/> Office	
<input type="checkbox"/> Public area	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please describe if other):	
Explanation:	
The incident occurred in the canine's assigned kennel run, while canine (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) was eating.	
Did the Aggression Occur:	
<input type="checkbox"/> On Leash	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Off Leash	
<input type="checkbox"/> Both On and Off Leash	
Explanation:	
Canine was eating in assigned kennel.	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Briefly describe the incident(s) that occurred. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Isolated Incident or <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple Incidents
I conclude this was an isolated incident, contingent on the actions of the handler caring for canine (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 1114(r)
How often has the aggressive behavior occurred?
One-time occurrence.
Do the handler's training records reflect the aggressive behavior?
No
What immediate action was taken by the handler for the aggressive behavior?
Assigned handler was not present, see attached report.
Describe the corrective action plan utilized by the handler for correcting the behavior?
Unit policy/procedures reflect appropriate actions when caring for another working canine.
While the canine was with the handler:
Did the canine display any aggressive behavior when:
<input type="checkbox"/> Someone entered the canine team's personal space
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was given direct eye contact in a passive approach
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone in a wheelchair
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone running/jogging
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the work environment by quick movement
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was given direct eye contact in an assertive approach
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone talking gesturing wildly (movement)
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was given verbal conflict (yelling/loud speaking)
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the work environment by someone waling unsteadily
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the work environment by sound
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone with a large object (cleaning cart/trash can)
<input type="checkbox"/> Someone entered the canine's vehicle
Explanation:
In the past, canine (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 1114(r) has not been observed demonstrating aggressive behavior when with his assigned handler.
In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the office
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Kennel <input type="checkbox"/> Unrestrained
Did the canine display any aggressive behavior when:
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the office environment by quick movement
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was startled in the office environment by sound
<input type="checkbox"/> The canine was approached by someone in a blind corner
Explanation:
No. Canine routinely becomes excited; barking and jumping at the sight/sound of someone arriving to the kennel facility. Canine (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 1114(r) will calm down even in the presence of an unknown individual if he does not receive any interaction (verbal/physical). Canine (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 1114(r) is very excited

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

and verbal but did not appear to be aggressive in the kennel environment.

In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the backyard and house (if allowed in house)

Did the canine display and aggressive behavior when:

- The canine was startled in the home environment by quick movement
- The canine was startled in the home environment by sound
- The canine was possessive about items (toys)
- Someone new feed the canine
- Someone new pulled the canine's feed pan

Explanation:

Canine not observed in this environment.

Did the canine display any aggressive behavior during the assessment? (Describe the incident)

Yes

No

Description (canines posture, crowds, demeanor, fight/flight, etc):

Is the canine's behavior influenced by the handler?

Yes

No

How:

Handler not present during assessment (Annual Leave)

Did the handler take the appropriate corrective action needed to minimize the behavior?

Yes

No

Explanation:

N/A

Describe the corrective action plan and recommendations given by the CTES representative?

Unit policy and Standard Operation Procedures reflect appropriate actions when caring for another working canine which will reinforced to all assigned handlers.

Other Pertinent Information:

I conclude this was an isolated incident and recommend the K9 Supervisor reinforce specific procedures regarding handlers caring for other canines in various situations. Safety is paramount and the appropriate measures are in place to ensure this incident will not happen in the future. I recommend the canine team of Officer (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3)-49
USC 8114(a) resume training and operations as scheduled.

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : Jakub Holmes	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	4 May 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6),(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Transitional Assessment
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.): A review of the training records/scattergram indicates a good mixture of training in each area, utilizing each CETA several times and varying the time intervals of all training conducted since graduating from the CTC.	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues): (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions): (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail): Follow up training and oversight will be conducted with team to ensure progression through all areas and the elimination of training deficiencies.	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Training Mission
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : HURST	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	15 January, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Assessment
	Operational Reinstatement
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):</i> Review of records and scattergrams over a 2 month period revealed that the handler had conducted adaquent training in all areas and all CETA was utilized. Records due lack constructive objectives, results and follow-ups. A discussion was had with the handler about this and a way to correct it.	
Training Issue(s) <i>(Describe all issues):</i> (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken <i>(Describe all corrective actions):</i> (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided <i>(Explain in Detail):</i> More training (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) is needed (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) and the continued communication between handler and trainer (whoever is the trainer that day) to ensure everyone is under the same understanding. Continue to work on pace.	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : David N. Krause	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	December 21-23, 28, 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	TTA
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
This transfer from (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) is an experienced Cycle 3 canine team. They transitioned smoothly to their new work environment and should be an excellent addition to the (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) Airport.	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
None noted.	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : David N. Krause	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	December 21-23, 28, 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	TTA
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
This transfer from (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) is an experienced Cycle 3 canine team. They transitioned smoothly to their new work environment and should be an excellent addition to the (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) Airport.	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
None noted.	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI : Oppenheimer	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	4/28/16
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Assessment
	Operational Reinstatement
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):</i>	
Handler was just coming off light duty. Training was minimal. K9 was maintained on odor by other handlers while handler was down	
Training Issue(s) <i>(Describe all issues):</i>	
No major issues noted.	
K9 does need more exposure to larger aircraft.	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Corrective Action(s) Taken <i>(Describe all corrective actions):</i>	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided <i>(Explain in Detail):</i>	
Try and get more exposure to larger aircraft.	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

Suggested Timeframe:	In Six (6) Weeks
-----------------------------	------------------

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : David N. Krause	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	June 20-24, 27-28 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Training Mission
	Training Mission
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
(b)(3); had a shoulder injury/condition while at CTC. Handler stated no problems have been encountered after (b)(3); was assigned to CPL (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); (b)(3);49	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
None noted. (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : Oppenheimer	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	4-6 January 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Progress Check
Evaluation	
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.): Team has been training well and focused on items from the trip report.	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues): (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions): <u>Train in more open area type problems in all the search areas.</u> (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail): K9 is on track for their eval. Nothing noted should not be able to be corrected by then.	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : Krause	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	25 November 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Transitional Assessment
N/A	
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
A review of the training records/scattergram indicates a good mixture of training in each area, utilizing each CETA several times and varying the time intervals of all training conducted since graduating from the CTC. Training records also indicate one instance of aggressive toward a CETA location.	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
Canine (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § responded aggressively on several CETA locations throughout the assessment. Handler claimed that this problem was encountered at CTC and fixed before they graduated. (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § showed several different behaviors ranging from biting, pawing and pushing with his head at CETA location(s).	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
Handler was instructed to give a verbal "no", followed by pulling (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § from his final response location, brought back to said location, given the seek command (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):	
Discussed with (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § Field Training Officer (FTO) about recommended actions if this behavior continues. The use of known CETA locations for handler to give verbal sit before and/or if canine starts showing possible aggressive tendencies.	
Second Visit Required:	
Suggested Timeframe:	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : Krause	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	25 November 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Transitional Assessment
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):</i>	
A review of the training records/scattergram indicates a good mixture of training in each area, utilizing each CETA several times and varying the time intervals of all training conducted since graduating from the CTC. Training records also indicate one instance of aggressive toward a CETA location.	
Training Issue(s) <i>(Describe all issues):</i>	
Canine (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r) responded aggressively on several CETA locations throughout the assessment. Handler claimed that this problem was encountered at CTC and fixed before they graduated. (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r) showed several different behaviors ranging from biting, pawing and pushing with his head at CETA location(s).	
Corrective Action(s) Taken <i>(Describe all corrective actions):</i>	
Handler was instructed to give a verbal "no", followed by pulling (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r) from his final response location, brought back to said location, given the seek command (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided <i>(Explain in Detail):</i>	
Discussed with (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r) Field Training Officer (FTO) about recommended actions if this behavior continues. The use of known CETA locations for handler to give verbal sit before and/or if canine starts showing possible aggressive tendencies.	
Second Visit Required:	
Suggested Timeframe:	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : Jakub Holmes	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	4 May 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Transitional Assessment
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
A review of the training records/scattergram indicates a good mixture of training in each area. Each CETA was used several times since graduating from the CTC. (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):	
Follow up training and oversight will be conducted with team to ensure progression through all areas and the elimination of training deficiencies.	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Second Visit Required:	Requires Full TM for Operational Reinstatement
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI :	Douglas Bonar
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3),49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	June 06-14, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3),49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Train Mission
	Training Mission
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
-Initial review of records/scattergrams revealed; Canine Team has had exposure to all training aids (b)(3),49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
(b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3),49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
(b)(3),49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

- Team should continue advancing by extending search time, continue with simple to complex CETA placements (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : David N Krause	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	February 22-26, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6),(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Training Assessment
Evaluation	
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
Handler prefers to work his canine on a dead-ringed fur saver instead of the harness. (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
Suggested to the handler to work (b)(3) on the harness to allow the canine to make the decision (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):	
Both the handler's supervisor and lead handler have been informed.	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI :	William A. Horrach
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	September 7, 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Failure Assessment
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):</i> Initial review of records revealed adequate amount of training in all evaluation areas leading up to the RE was conducted. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r) (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) <i>(Describe all issues):</i> (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken <i>(Describe all corrective actions):</i> (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided <i>(Explain in Detail):</i>	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Other Comments:

The handler was advised to;

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Training Plan Suggestions:

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Inspector (b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3):49 had a positive attitude during his entire assessment. During this assessment, he followed instructions very well. If he conducts corrective action training, he should pass his Initial Evaluation.

Recommend a second Progress Check; to ensure no further corrective action training is needed.

Second Visit Required:	Yes for Second Assessment
Suggested Timeframe:	In Three (3) Weeks

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Aggressive Canine Behavioral Assessment

CTES Instructor/Trainer Name:	David N Krause
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	March 21, 2016
Canine Team:	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
If safety becomes an issue please stop that action or scenario when observing or conducting any of the below listed items.	
Check all applicable boxes (if checked an explanation is required)	
Canine Showed Aggression Towards:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Explosives Items	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Canines or Other Animals	
<input type="checkbox"/> Handler	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adults	
<input type="checkbox"/> Children	
<input type="checkbox"/> All People	
<input type="checkbox"/> Family Members at Home	
<input type="checkbox"/> Food	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please describe if other):	
Explanation: EDC (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § attempted to bite (b)(6) Corgi puppy and instead bit (b)(6) (b)(6) hair.	
Location the Aggression Had Occurred:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Home	
<input type="checkbox"/> Vehicle	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Terminal	
<input type="checkbox"/> Kennel	
<input type="checkbox"/> Office	
<input type="checkbox"/> Public area	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please describe if other):	
Explanation: Gate 11, (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r) Airport	
Did the Aggression Occur:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> On Leash	
<input type="checkbox"/> Off Leash	
<input type="checkbox"/> Both On and Off Leash	
Explanation:	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Briefly describe the incident(s) that occurred. Isolated Incident or Multiple Incidents

At approx. 1445hrs, 21 March 2016, TSA Regional Canine Training Instructor (RCTI) Krause was handling EDC (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) at Gate 11. (b)(6) was briefed beforehand what RCTI Krause was doing with (b)(3):49 (b)(6) was holding his Corgi puppy on his right chest as you would a baby. We walked by (b)(6) and his canine from the back of the seat. (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) moved toward and lunged at the Corgi. RCTI Krause performed a leash correction on (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r). When (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) was unable to reach the Corgi, (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) turned his head and momentarily took hold on (b)(6) hair on the back of his head. (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) immediately released his hold. (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) was returned to CPL (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); (b)(3):49 while RCTI Krause ascertained if (b)(6) required medical attention.

How often has the aggressive behavior occurred?

1. Handler stated to me that (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) has a problem with 2 other EDC (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) within his unit.
2. (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) aggressed on a small canine that was in its carrier at (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) Terminal
3. At approximately 1030hrs, 15 Mar 2016, CPL (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); (b)(3):49 while off duty was jogging with EDC (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r). A neighbor's canine broke loose of its leash and charged at (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r). The neighbor's canine was bit in the head and face as (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) defended itself.

Do the handler's training records reflect the aggressive behavior?

Not for aggression.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

What immediate action was taken by the handler for the aggressive behavior?

Verbal "no" paired with a leash correction initially. After contact with passenger's hair, verbal "no" only

Describe the corrective action plan utilized by the handler for correcting the behavior?

The handler was advised to understand his canine (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) shows aggressive behavior towards other canines. With this in mind corrective actions should consist of subduing the aggression before it comes to the point of lunging at other canines. The corrective actions should be verbal corrections and meaningful corrections on the collar to break the canine's concentration. In the event the canine breaks position, the handler should use a correction to the collar with a stern verbal no.

While the canine was with the handler:

Did the canine display any aggressive behavior when:

- Someone entered the canine team's personal space
- The canine was given direct eye contact in a passive approach
- The canine was approached by someone in a wheelchair
- The canine was approached by someone running/jogging
- The canine was startled in the work environment by quick movement
- The canine was given direct eye contact in an assertive approach
- The canine was approached by someone talking gesturing wildly (movement)
- The canine was given verbal conflict (yelling/loud speaking)
- The canine was startled in the work environment by someone waling unsteadily
- The canine was startled in the work environment by sound
- The canine was approached by someone with a large object (cleaning cart/trash can)
- Someone entered the canine's vehicle

Explanation: None

In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the office

- Kennel
- Unrestrained

Did the canine display any aggressive behavior when:

- The canine was startled in the office environment by quick movement
- The canine was startled in the office environment by sound
- The canine was approached by someone in a blind corner

Explanation: Handler varies whether (b)(3).49 USC § is in the outdoor run, is unrestrained in the office, or is kept in the vehicle. (b)(3).49 USC § stays in the vehicle when EDC (b)(3).4 g and EDC (b)(3).49 USC § are in the building.

In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the backyard and house (if allowed in house)

Did the canine display and aggressive behavior when:

- The canine was startled in the home environment by quick movement
- The canine was startled in the home environment by sound
- The canine was possessive about items (toys)
- Someone new feed the canine
- Someone new pulled the canine's feed pan

Explanation: None

Did the canine display any aggressive behavior during the assessment? (Describe the incident)

- Yes
- No

See above

Is the canine's behavior influenced by the handler?

- Yes
- No

How:

Did the handler take the appropriate corrective action needed to minimize the behavior?

- Yes
- No

Explanation: Verbal correction along with a physical correction.

Describe the corrective action plan and recommendations given by the CTES representative?

The handler was advised to understand his canine (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § shows aggressive behavior towards other canines. With this in mind corrective actions should consist of subduing the aggression before it comes to the point of lunging at other canines. The corrective actions should be verbal corrections and meaningful corrections on the collar to break the canine's concentration. In the event the canine breaks position, the handler should use a correction to the collar with a stern verbal no.

Other Pertinent Information:

Prior to the bite of (b)(6) RCTI Krause initially:

1. Approximately 30 minutes earlier, RCTI Krause had (b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3):49 while handling (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § stand about 10 feet away from (b)(6) canine. (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § breathing started to increase. CPL (b)(6),(b)(7)(C) (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § was instructed to verbally call (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § and move directly away from the canine. (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § happily responded to his handler. The dog team completed this same procedure twice more. From approximately eight feet and then five feet from the canine.
2. We moved off to find another canine. We came across a medium sized poodle. RCTI Krause approached the owner to explain what we were doing. RCTI Krause assumed leash control to administer proper leash corrections if needed. RCTI Krause and (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § made three passes by the poodle. The first pass required a solid correction for lunging in the poodle's direction. Verbal praise was given when (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § followed RCTI Krause away from the poodle. The second pass required a less intensive correction when (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § looked at the poodle while deciding if he should go toward the poodle. The third pass required a slight pop on the leash when (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § looked at the poodle. (b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § was given the leash back as we left the area to let (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § decompress.
3. We went back to (b)(6) location and the incident occurred.

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

~~WARNING: This record contains Sensitive Security Information that is controlled under 49 CFR parts 15 and 1520. No part of this record may be disclosed to persons without a "need to know", as defined in 49 CFR parts 15 and 1520, except with the written permission of the Administrator of the Transportation Security Administration or the Secretary of Transportation. Unauthorized release may result in civil penalty or other action. For U.S. government agencies, public disclosure is governed by 5 U.S.C. 552 and 49 CFR parts 15 and 1520.~~

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : David N. Krause	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	January 19-22, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	TTA
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
This transfer from (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r) is an experienced Cycle 3 canine team. They transitioned smoothly to their new work environment and should be an excellent addition to the (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r) Airport.	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
None noted.	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Canine Training & Evaluation Section (CTES) document only and not for disbursement.*

Regional Canine Training Instructor's Name:	McKenzie
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	February 19, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Failure Assessment
Evaluation	
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.): Discussions about recent performance and the evaluation were discussed.	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues): Canine (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r) has a noted history of being environmentally sensitive around people. While it was appearingly manageable, the canine was unable to successfully meet evaluation standards due to the sensitivities.	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions): A few weeks before the scheduled Progress Check, I worked with the canine team for three days. An attempt was made to teach the canine to work through stressful situations (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided *(Explain in Detail):*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Second Visit Required:

Suggested Timeframe:

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI :	Hutchison
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	15 June 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Transitional Assessment
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.): A review of the training records/scattergram indicates a good mixture of training in each area, utilizing each CETA several times and varying the time intervals of all training conducted since graduating from the CTC.	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues): (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions): (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail): Advised the (b)(3):49 canine supervisor of the recommended actions and to ensure handler has enough availability to conduct training (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : Hutchison	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	22 July 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Transitional Assessment
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.): A review of the training records/scattergram indicates a good mixture of training in each area, utilizing each CETA several times and varying the time intervals of all training conducted since graduating from the CTC.	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues): (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions): (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail): Advised the GOAA canine supervisor of the recommended actions and to ensure handler has enough availability to conduct terminal training (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : Hutchison	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	17 December 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Transitional Assessment
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.): A review of the training records/scattergram indicates a good mixture of training in each area, utilizing each CETA several times and varying the time intervals of all training conducted since graduating from the CTC. Training records also indicate one instance of aggressive toward a CETA location.	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues): (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions): (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail): Advised the PCP canine supervisor of the recommended actions and to ensure handler has enough availability to conduct luggage training (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Training Mission
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI :	Hutchison
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	29 January 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Transitional Assessment
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
A review of the training records/scattergram indicates a good mixture of training in each area, utilizing each CETA several times and varying the time intervals of all training conducted since graduating from the CTC.	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):	
Advised the MCO canine supervisor of the recommended actions and to ensure handler has enough availability to conduct terminal training (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Training Mission
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : Hutchison	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	17 December 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Transitional Assessment
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.): A review of the training records/scattergram indicates a good mixture of training in each area, utilizing each CETA several times and varying the time intervals of all training conducted since graduating from the CTC. Training records also indicate one instance of aggressive toward a CETA location.	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues): (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions): (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail): Advised the PCP canine supervisor of the recommended actions and to ensure handler has enough availability to conduct luggage training (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Training Mission
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI :	Hutchison
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3)49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	5 February 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3)49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Transitional Assessment
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.): A review of the training records/scattergram indicates a good mixture of training in each area, utilizing each CETA several times and varying the time intervals of all training conducted since graduating from the CTC.	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues): (b)(3)49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions): (b)(3)49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail): Advised the PCP canine supervisor of the recommended actions and to ensure handler has enough availability to conduct luggage training (b)(3)49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Training Mission
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI :	Hutchison
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	5 February 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6),(b)(7)(C):(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Transitional Assessment
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.): A review of the training records/scattergram indicates a good mixture of training in each area, utilizing each CETA several times and varying the time intervals of all training conducted since graduating from the CTC.	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues): (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions): (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail): Advised the MCO canine supervisor of the recommended actions and to ensure handler has enough availability to RTTA training.	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI :	Hutchison
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	8 January 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Transitional Assessment
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.): A review of the training records/scattergram indicates a good mixture of training in each area, utilizing each CETA several times and varying the time intervals of all training conducted since graduating from the CTC. Training records also indicate one instance of aggressive toward a CETA location.	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues): (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions): (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail): Advised the JAA canine supervisor of the recommended actions and to ensure handler has enough availability to conduct luggage training (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Training Mission
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI :	Rivera, Ray
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3).49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	April 29, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3).49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Progress Check
	N/A

Initial Review of Records and Discussion *(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):*

Initial review of records/scattergrams revealed a high volume of training concentrated mostly on EDC and not PSC. The team had 65 records for EDC within a 6 week period (20 days); 10 training exercises for PSC. Progress check was conducted to ensure canine team has corrected deficiencies noted during operational assessment from 6 weeks prior.

Training Issue(s) *(Describe all issues):*

(b)(3).49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Corrective Action(s) Taken *(Describe all corrective actions):*

(b)(3).49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided *(Explain in Detail):*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

- Continue monitoring any signs of aggression towards other animals
- Maximize training time in MI/RTTA operations
- Vary the amount of training done each day

Second Visit Required: Local leadership's decision

Suggested Timeframe: Local leadership's decision

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : Jakub Holmes	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	4 May 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Transitional Assessment
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.): A review of the training records/scattergram indicates a good mixture of training in each area, utilizing each CETA several times and varying the time intervals of all training conducted since graduating from the CTC.	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues): (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions): (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail): Follow up training and oversight will be conducted with team to ensure progression through all areas and the elimination of training deficiencies.	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Training Mission
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI :	SMYSER, WALTER (IAD)
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	November 12-20, 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Training Mission
	Training Mission

Initial Review of Records and Discussion *(This does not require a lengthy discussion):*

(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Training Issue(s) *(Describe all issues):*

(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Corrective Action(s) Taken *(Describe all corrective actions):*

(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided *(Explain in Detail):*

- Continue with recommendations made by RCTI.

Second Visit Required: Schedule Second TM or Evaluation by CTES

Suggested Timeframe: Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI :	Scott Chirdon (RIC)
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	November 16-20, 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Assessment
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion):</i>	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) <i>(Describe all issues):</i>	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken <i>(Describe all corrective actions):</i>	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided <i>(Explain in Detail):</i>	
- Continue with recommendations made by RCTI	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI : Scott Chirdon (RIC)	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	September 14-17, 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Assessment
	Choose an item.
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.): Team had not training records in CWS.	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues): (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions): (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail): - Continue with recommendations made by RCTI	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : David N Krause	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	February 22-26, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Training Assessment
Evaluation	
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
This team was certified in EDC in Dec '13. Due to no available slots for the Bridge Course, this team attended the PSC Course.	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
Verbal corrections/reminders from the RCTI when needed, along with verbal praise when warranted.	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):	
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 Lead Handler has been informed to continue reminding her not restrict her canine.	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTIS : Charles Rotenberry	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	January 22-28, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Training Mission
	Training Mission
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):	
- Continue with recommendations made by RCTI.	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI : Scott Chirdon (RIC)	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3)49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	October 13-15, 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3)49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Training Mission
	Training Mission
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
(b)(3)49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
(b)(3)49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
(b)(3)49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):	
- Continue with recommendations made by RCTI.	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	More Than Two (2) Months

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI : Scott Chirdon (RIC)	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	August 31-September 3, 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Assessment
	Choose an item.
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):	
- Continue with recommendations made by RCTI	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	In Six (6) Weeks

~~**WARNING:** This record contains Sensitive Security Information that is controlled under 49 CFR parts 15 and 1520. No part of this record may be disclosed to persons without a "need to know" as defined in 49 CFR parts 15 and 1520, except with the written permission of the Administrator of the Transportation Security Administration or the Secretary of Transportation. Unauthorized release may result in civil penalty or other action. For U.S. government agencies, public disclosure is governed by 5 U.S.C. 552 and 49 CFR parts 15 and 1520.~~

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Aggressive Canine Behavioral Assessment

CTES Instructor/Trainer Name:	RCTI Krause
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	November 20, 2015
Canine Team:	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3)-49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
If safety becomes an issue please stop that action or scenario when observing or conducting any of the below listed items.	
Check all applicable boxes (if checked an explanation is required)	
Canine Showed Aggression Towards:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Explosives Items	
<input type="checkbox"/> Canines or Other Animals	
<input type="checkbox"/> Handler	
<input type="checkbox"/> Adults	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Children	
<input type="checkbox"/> All People	
<input type="checkbox"/> Family Members at Home	
<input type="checkbox"/> Food	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please describe if other):	
Explanation:	
Location the Aggression Had Occurred:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Home	
<input type="checkbox"/> Vehicle	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Terminal	
<input type="checkbox"/> Kennel	
<input type="checkbox"/> Office	
<input type="checkbox"/> Public area	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please describe if other):	
Explanation:	
Did the Aggression Occur:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> On Leash	
<input type="checkbox"/> Off Leash	
<input type="checkbox"/> Both On and Off Leash	
Explanation:	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Briefly describe the incident(s) that occurred. Isolated Incident or Multiple Incidents

The following account is what I observed from the recorded CCTV feed of the incident and talking to (b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3):49 and canine (b)(3):4 were performing a public visibility walking patrol (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) As they approached the airport child play area, a small male child exited the play area into the path of the dog team. The child appeared to be 10' - 15' from the dog team. The child was yelling and this peaked (b)(3):49 interest. (b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3):49 physically pulled (b)(3):4 to his side. The child's mother entered the screen from the child play area and picked up the boy. When the dog team attempted to continue their presence patrol, the mother started asking questions about the dog and she closed the distance between them and the dog team. At this point the two entities were within three feet of each other. During the conversation, the child clearly did not want to be held by the mother and started to squirm in his mother's arms while yelling. The mother swung the child's lower body from one side of her body to the other side. When this happened, the child's feet swung within a foot or less of (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) lunged at the child's feet and pulled a sock from the child's foot.

(b)(3):4 immediately dropped the sock and stared at the sock, no longer paying attention to the child.

The child received a small laceration to his foot.

(b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3):49 picked up the sock and returned it to the mother. The mother and son went back into the play area and (b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3):49 called his supervisor and EMS.

I continued to watch the video after the child left the area to observe (b)(3):49 behavior. (b)(3):49 stared in the direction of the mother and child after they went back into the play area. (b)(3):49 remained calm and attentive to (b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3):49 while he called EMS.

How often has the aggressive behavior occurred?

Single event

Do the handler's training records reflect the aggressive behavior?

No

What immediate action was taken by the handler for the aggressive behavior?

(b)(3):49 was commanded to sit

Describe the corrective action plan utilized by the handler for correcting the behavior?

Due to safety, the original conditions could not be duplicated.

Fellow handlers within (b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3):49 kennel did use different types of clothing during training searches to elicit a response from (b)(3):49 other than search behavior. Clothes were put in the training area that he'd encounter within his search area. Socks were waved around the canine while searching, handler's would attempt to startle the canine while searching, while standing around.

While the canine was with the handler:

Did the canine display any aggressive behavior when:

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

- Someone entered the canine team's personal space
- The canine was given direct eye contact in a passive approach
- The canine was approached by someone in a wheelchair
- The canine was approached by someone running/jogging
- The canine was startled in the work environment by quick movement
- The canine was given direct eye contact in an assertive approach
- The canine was approached by someone talking gesturing wildly (movement)
- The canine was given verbal conflict (yelling/loud speaking)
- The canine was startled in the work environment by someone waling unsteadily
- The canine was startled in the work environment by sound
- The canine was approached by someone with a large object (cleaning cart/trash can)
- Someone entered the canine's vehicle

Explanation: No

In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the office

- Kennel Unrestrained

Did the canine display any aggressive behavior when:

- The canine was startled in the office environment by quick movement
- The canine was startled in the office environment by sound
- The canine was approached by someone in a blind corner

Explanation: N/A

In the natural environment in which the handler normally has the canine in the backyard and house (if allowed in house)

Did the canine display and aggressive behavior when:

- The canine was startled in the home environment by quick movement
- The canine was startled in the home environment by sound
- The canine was possessive about items (toys)
- Someone new feed the canine
- Someone new pulled the canine's feed pan

Explanation: N/A

Did the canine display any aggressive behavior during the assessment? (Describe the incident)

- Yes
 No

Description (canines posture, crowds, demeanor, fight/flight, etc):

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Is the canine's behavior influenced by the handler? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No How: When given commands
Did the handler take the appropriate corrective action needed to minimize the behavior? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Explanation:
Describe the corrective action plan and recommendations given by the CTES representative? It is recommended that (b)(6),(b)(7)(C) use a verbal and/or physically (if needed) correction with (b)(3) to disrupt his mindset if he shows any behavior around small children other than general interest that it shows with all passengers. Once the correction happens, (b)(6),(b)(7)(C) should re-direct (b)(3) with a known command.
Other Pertinent Information: I have seen this canine in the terminal, around other small children. This was a case of a small, noisy child that drew the attention of (b)(3), 49 U.S.C. § 114(r) was looking to interact with this child. While unfortunate, the contact with the child was based in prey/play behavior. (b)(3), 49 U.S.C. § had little interest in the child after removing his sock. If (b)(3), 49 U.S.C. § had bad intentions on his mind, he easily could have bitten the child when (b)(6),(b)(7)(C) handed the sock back to the mother. Even with the short leash (b)(6),(b)(7)(C) had on (b)(3), 49 U.S.C. § he was within a foot from the child's feet/legs. Instead, (b)(3), 49 U.S.C. § followed his handler's hand that held the sock that was given to the mother and remained passive as they walked away.

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI : Scott Chirdon (RIC)	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	February 16-19, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operations Transition Assessment (OTA)
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):	
- Continue with recommendations made by RCTI	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI : Bonar	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	May 25, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Assessment
	Operational Reinstatement
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : Dennis Ford	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	10/08/2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Assessment
	Operational Reinstatement
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):</i>	
An initial review of the team's training records and scattergrams revealed that the canine was off odor for over 30 days. (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) <i>(Describe all issues):</i>	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Corrective Action(s) Taken *(Describe all corrective actions):*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided *(Explain in Detail):*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

-The second visit (OA) required will be conducted in six weeks instead of three due to the handler having a military commitment for two weeks.

Second Visit Required:	Yes for Second Assessment
-------------------------------	---------------------------

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Suggested Timeframe:	In Six (6) Weeks
-----------------------------	------------------

~~SENSITIVE SECURITY INFORMATION~~
Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : Andrew Chumbler	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	July 24, 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Assessment
	Operational Reinstatement
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):</i>	
A review of the teams' scattergrams and training records revealed no major discrepancies. All teams were advised to keep training consistent with canine advancement goals and resist the inclination to train specifically for evaluations. The importance of periodically reviewing scattergrams was stressed to observe where training is lacking, and to ensure the canine is exposed to all the canine explosives training aids, in order to maintain their proficiency.	
Training Issue(s) <i>(Describe all issues):</i>	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken <i>(Describe all corrective actions):</i>	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided <i>(Explain in Detail):</i>	
The canine showed marked improvement throughout the assessment. The handler was advised to contact the RCTI, in the event any further issues should arise.	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : Andrew Chumbler	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	July 24, 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Assessment
	Operational Reinstatement
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):</i> A review of the teams' scattergrams and training records revealed no major discrepancies. All teams were advised to keep training consistent with canine advancement goals and resist the inclination to train specifically for evaluations. The importance of periodically reviewing scattergrams was stressed to observe where training is lacking, and to ensure the canine is exposed to all the canine explosives training aids, in order to maintain their proficiency.	
Training Issue(s) <i>(Describe all issues):</i> (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken <i>(Describe all corrective actions):</i> (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided <i>(Explain in Detail):</i> The canine showed marked improvement throughout the assessment. The handler was advised to contact the RCTI, in the event any further issues should arise.	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

SRCTI :	Jeremy W Hall
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	02/18/2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Assessment
	Operational Reinstatement
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
An initial review of the team's training records and scatter grams revealed that the team is conducting a satisfactory number of training scenarios. (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) The handler provided satisfactory remarks ensuring detailed objectives, comments and follow-up remarks were annotated on the records.	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
1. Canine intrusiveness toward passengers (PSC)	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
1. To counteract the canine intrusiveness issue, the handler was given recommendations to keep the leash tight and not allow the canine to come into direct contact with passengers (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Same as provided above.	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI :	Lloyd R. Edwards
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3)-49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	09/30/2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3)-49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Assessment
	Operational Reinstatement
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):</i>	
An initial review of the team's training records and scattergrams revealed that the canine was off odor for over 30 days. (b)(3)-49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
(b)(3)-49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) <i>(Describe all issues):</i>	
(b)(3)-49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

The second visit (OA) required will be conducted in six weeks instead of three due to RCTI being

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

TDY.	
Second Visit Required:	Yes for Second Assessment
Suggested Timeframe:	In Six (6) Weeks

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : Jakub Holmes	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	26 February 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Transitional Assessment
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.): A review of the training records/scattergram indicates a good mixture of training in each area, utilizing each CETA several times and varying the time intervals of all training conducted since graduating from the CTC. (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues): (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions): (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail): (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Training Mission
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : David N Krause	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	May 25-27, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Training Assessment
Evaluation	
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r) has been certified Cycle 3 (1 st handler) and Cycle 2 (2 nd handler) in the last three years. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3)	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r) have recently completed the CTC Bridge Course.	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
None noted.	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
N/A	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):	
N/A	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : Jakub Holmes	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	8 March 2016-10 March 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.): Review of records and scattergrams over a 2 month period revealed that the handler had conducted adequate training in all areas and all CETA was utilized. There was a lapse in records going back further due to the canine having gone through surgery and training not being conducted.	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues): (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions): (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail): The team can improve in all areas through training (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r) (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r) The handler was instructed to exercise the canine after gaining approval from the vet on what the canine is capable of doing after the surgery.	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Training Mission
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI : William A. Horrach	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	July 31, 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Failure Assessment
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
Initial review of records revealed adequate amount of training in all evaluation areas leading up to the RE. I was present for the evaluation conducted June 20-23, 2015.	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided *(Explain in Detail):*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Other Comments:

The handler was advised to;

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Training Plan Suggestions:

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Inspector (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(3):49 had a positive attitude during his entire assessment. During this assessment, he

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

followed instructions very well. If he conducts corrective action training, he should pass his Initial Evaluation.

I am recommending a second Progress Check (scheduled for August 31 thru September 4, 2015); to ensure no further corrective action training is needed.

Second Visit Required:	Yes for Second Assessment
Suggested Timeframe:	In Three (3) Weeks

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI : William A. Horrach	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	July 31, 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Failure Assessment
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
Initial review of records revealed adequate amount of training in all evaluation areas leading up to the RE. I was present for the evaluation conducted June 20-23, 2015. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided *(Explain in Detail):*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Other Comments:

The handler was advised to;

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Training Plan Suggestions;

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Inspector (b)(6):(b)(7)(C):(had a positive attitude during his entire assessment. During this assessment, he followed instructions very well. If he conducts corrective action training, he should pass his Initial Evaluation.

I am recommending a second Progress Check (scheduled for August 31 thru September 4, 2015); to ensure no further corrective action training is needed.

Second Visit Required:	Yes for Second Assessment
-------------------------------	---------------------------

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

Suggested Timeframe:	In Three (3) Weeks
-----------------------------	--------------------

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI : Christopher M. Gronli	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	June 1 – 3, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Progress Check
Evaluation	
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
Records show that this team regularly only trains with one other person and that there are very few issues with the team. (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date
-----------------------------	---------------------

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : Andrew Chumbler	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	July 30, 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Progress Check
N/A	
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.): A review of the teams' scattergrams and training records revealed no major discrepancies. All teams were advised to keep training consistent with canine advancement goals and resist the inclination to train specifically for evaluations. The importance of periodically reviewing scattergrams was stressed to observe where training is lacking, and to ensure the canine is exposed to all the canine explosives training aids, in order to maintain their proficiency.	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues): (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions): (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail): The team has shown great improvement from past training scenarios. Continue with the use of videotaping and if questions arise, don't hesitate to contact your Regional Canine Training Instructor.	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI : Christopher M. Gronli	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	13-14 July, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Progress Check
Evaluation	
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):</i> Initial review of records shows that the team has not conducted much training after returning to work after a 5 month absence for medical leave.	
Training Issue(s) <i>(Describe all issues):</i> (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken <i>(Describe all corrective actions):</i> (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided *(Explain in Detail):*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) Videotaping is a great tool to use so that the handler can see the training problem from a different perspective. (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Second Visit Required:	Yes for Problem Solving
Suggested Timeframe:	In Three (3) Weeks

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI : Jeremy Hall	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3),49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	July 21-23, 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3),49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Progress Check
Evaluation	
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):</i>	
A review of the team's training records and scattergrams was conducted. The training records reflected that numerous training scenarios had been conducted. However, objectives and follow up remarks, need more detail. Emphasis was made on the importance of goal oriented training by identifying deficiencies, applying appropriate corrective actions and using successive approximation to achieve desired goals. The importance of well documented training records and the use of scattergrams as a gauging tool were discussed.	
Training Issue(s) <i>(Describe all issues):</i>	
(b)(3),49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken <i>(Describe all corrective actions):</i>	
(b)(3),49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided <i>(Explain in Detail):</i>	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

Same as above.	
Second Visit Required:	Schedule Second TM or Evaluation by CTES
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : Seth Murphy	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	October 1, 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Progress Check

Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):

A review of the teams' scattergrams and training records revealed minimal training conducted during the past month due to handler leave. All teams were advised to keep training consistent with canine advancement goals and resist the inclination to train specifically for evaluations. The importance of periodically reviewing scattergrams was stressed to observe where training is lacking, and to ensure the canine is exposed to all the canine explosives training aids, in order to maintain their proficiency.

Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):

The RCTI switched the canine's collar from a flat to a choke chain. (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

The team has shown great improvement from past training scenarios. However, the handler needs time and repetition in order to regain confidence due to a change in search style. Continue with the

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

use of videotaping and if questions arise, don't hesitate to contact your Regional Canine Training Instructor.

Second Visit Required:	Yes for Second Assessment
Suggested Timeframe:	In Three (3) Weeks

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI :	Seth Murphy
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	October 1, 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Progress Check

Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):

A review of the teams' scattergrams and training records revealed minimal training conducted during the past month due to handler leave. All teams were advised to keep training consistent with canine advancement goals and resist the inclination to train specifically for evaluations. The importance of periodically reviewing scattergrams was stressed to observe where training is lacking, and to ensure the canine is exposed to all the canine explosives training aids, in order to maintain their proficiency.

Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):

A second RCTI visit has been scheduled in three weeks (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

The team showed great improvement from past training scenarios. However, the team needs additional training time (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) Continue with the use of videotaping and if questions arise, don't hesitate to contact your Regional Canine Training Instructor.

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Second Visit Required:	Yes for Second Assessment
Suggested Timeframe:	In Three (3) Weeks

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : Murphy	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	July 23, 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Progress Check
Training Mission	
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):</i>	
A review of the teams' scattergrams and training records revealed no major discrepancies. The team was advised to keep training consistent with canine advancement goals and resist the inclination to train specifically for evaluations. The importance of periodically reviewing scattergrams was stressed to observe where training is lacking, and to ensure the canine is exposed to all the canine explosives training aids, in order to maintain their proficiency.	
Training Issue(s) <i>(Describe all issues):</i>	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken <i>(Describe all corrective actions):</i>	
1. Video tape training scenarios. Trainer telling handler when canine is displaying a change of behavior so that handler learns to read the canine.	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided <i>(Explain in Detail):</i>	
The team showed some improvement throughout the assessment; (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) The handler was given recommendations on how to conduct better training and advice on handling procedures that were more advantageous to her assigned canine. The handler was also advised to video tape her detection training scenarios in order to learn the canines' change of behavior. Please contact RCTI Murphy, should any other issues arise.	
Second Visit Required:	Schedule Second TM or Evaluation by CTES

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Suggested Timeframe:	In Three (3) Weeks
-----------------------------	--------------------

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI : Andrew Chumbler	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	March 31, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Progress Check
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):</i>	
The teams have shown improvement in their records. There is more pertinent detail which is important for objective based training. The teams need to keep up the good work and insure they enter the follow-ups.	
Training Issue(s) <i>(Describe all issues):</i>	

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Corrective Action(s) Taken *(Describe all corrective actions):*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

(b)(3) 49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided *(Explain in Detail):*

(b)(3) 49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

(b)(3) 49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

The teams need to take turns at being the trainer for the day. Continue on the current course and do not get complacent with the day to day activity. If you have questions or concerns contact your RCTI.

Second Visit Required:	Yes for Problem Solving
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI :	Andrew Chumbler
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	March 31, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Progress Check
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):</i>	
The teams have shown improvement in their records. There is more pertinent detail which is important for objective based training. The teams need to keep up the good work and insure they enter the follow-ups.	
Training Issue(s) <i>(Describe all issues):</i>	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken <i>(Describe all corrective actions):</i>	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

(b)(3)49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Physical conditioning – The canine is weighed every morning and exercised every morning. The canine has shown some weight fluctuation but the canine is still over weight. Keep up with the conditioning.

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided *(Explain in Detail):*

(b)(3)49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

(b)(3)49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

The teams need to take turns at being the trainer for the day. Continue on the current course and do not get complacent with the day to day activity. If you have questions or concerns contact your RCTI.

Second Visit Required:	Yes for Problem Solving
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI : Andrew Chumbler	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	March 31, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Progress Check
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):</i>	
The teams have shown improvement in their records. There is more pertinent detail which is important for objective based training. The teams need to keep up the good work and insure they enter the follow-ups.	
Training Issue(s) <i>(Describe all issues):</i>	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken <i>(Describe all corrective actions):</i>	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided <i>(Explain in Detail):</i>	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	The teams need to take turns at being the trainer for the day. Continue on the current course and do not get complacent with the day to day activity. If you have questions or concerns contact your RCTI.
Second Visit Required:	Yes for Problem Solving
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Canine Training & Evaluation Section (CTES) document only and not for disbursement.*

CTES Representative :	Dennis Ford
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	April 19, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Progress Check
	N/A

Initial Review of Records and Discussion *(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):*

An initial review of the team's training records and scatter grams revealed that there was little training conducted (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) The handler provided satisfactory remarks ensuring detailed objectives, comments and follow up remarks were annotated in the records. (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Training Issue(s) *(Describe all issues):*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Corrective Action(s) Taken *(Describe all corrective actions):*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

(b)(3) 49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided *(Explain in Detail):*

(b)(3) 49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI : William Horrach	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	May 10, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Progress Check
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):</i>	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) <i>(Describe all issues):</i>	
No major PSC training issues noted	
Corrective Action(s) Taken <i>(Describe all corrective actions):</i>	
No major PSC training issues noted	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided <i>(Explain in Detail):</i>	
No major PSC training issues noted	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

Additional Remarks:

(b)(3) 49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

- The handler was advised if he had any questions to please contact RCTI William Horrach

Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : Murphy	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	March 11, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Progress Check
Evaluation	
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.): A review of the teams' scattergrams and training records revealed no major discrepancies. The team was advised to keep training consistent with canine advancement goals and resist the inclination to train specifically for evaluations. The importance of periodically reviewing scattergrams was stressed to observe where training is lacking, and to ensure the canine is exposed to all the canine explosives training aids, in order to maintain their proficiency.	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues): (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions): (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail): Team showed good improvement throughout the training. Handler was given recommendations on how to conduct better training and advice on handling procedures that were more advantageous to assigned canine. (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) The handler was further advised to contact RCTI in the event any further issues should arise.	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date
-----------------------------	---------------------

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Canine Training & Evaluation Section (CTES) document only and not for disbursement.*

CTES Representative :	Larry Harvey
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	May 11 - 13, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Progress Check
	Evaluation

Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) The handler provided satisfactory remarks ensuring detailed objectives and comments. Follow up remarks were annotated in the records.

Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

(b)(3).49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	In Three (3) Weeks

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI : Dyron Beasley	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	August 24-28, 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Progress Check
Evaluation	
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
A review of the teams' scattergrams and training records (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) Discussions were held with the	
importance of conducting (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) search scenarios. (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

(b)(3) 49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Marked improvement was noted by the team. The RCTI recommended that this team take 30 to 45 days to apply corrective actions and recommendations prior to participating in an OE (certification portion).

Contact RCTI Beasley with any follow up questions or concerns.

Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	In Six (6) Weeks

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI : EDWARDS	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	April 4-8 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Progress Check
	Evaluation
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date
-----------------------------	---------------------

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : Andrew Chumbler	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	August 7, 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Progress Check
Evaluation	
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) The importance of periodically reviewing scattergrams was stressed to observe where training is lacking, and to ensure the canine is exposed to all the canine explosives training aids, in order to maintain proficiency.	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Continue to implement positive training techniques. Stay motivated and stay in close contact with your RCTI.

Second Visit Required:	Yes for Problem Solving
Suggested Timeframe:	More Than Two (2) Months

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI : LLOYD EDWARDS	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. §
Date (Long Date Format):	May 2 – 4, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Progress Check
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
An initial review of the team's training records	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail): Continue to train as directed. Contact the RCTI if you have question or problems.	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : HURST	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	28 January, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Assessment
	Operational Reinstatement
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.): Due to an issue with CWS, a review of records and scattergrams was not conducted. Handler was reminded that training records need to have constructive objectives, results and follow-ups.	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues): (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions): (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail): -More training (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) is needed (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) and the continued communication between handler and trainer (whoever is the trainer that day) to ensure everyone is under the same understanding. For now, continue to place blank bags out, but from time to time, place a bag in area with CETA. - Continue to use voice to motivate IILL instead of saying "no".	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : David N. Krause	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	December 10-11, 14-18 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Training Mission
	Training Mission
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
TSI (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 recently completed the CTC Bridge Course. Canine (b)(3):49 was held over at CTC for additional training due to an evaluation failure involving the lack of responding on multiple CETAs. This team had minimal training events at DFW before undergoing this Training Mission.	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):	
Continue with the above corrective action format. (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : David N. Krause	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	November 30 – 1-4, 7-8 December 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Training Mission
	Training Mission
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):	
Recurrent traing with RCTI	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : SMYSER, WALTER	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	AUGUST 26-28, 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Problem Solving
Evaluation	
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):</i> A review of the teams' scattergrams and training records revealed no major discrepancies. All teams were advised to keep training consistent with canine advancement goals and resist the inclination to train specifically for evaluations. The importance of periodically reviewing scattergrams was stressed to observe where training is lacking, and to ensure the canine is exposed to all the canine explosives training aids, in order to maintain their proficiency.	
Training Issue(s) <i>(Describe all issues):</i> (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken <i>(Describe all corrective actions):</i> (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

(b)(3) 49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided *(Explain in Detail):*

Continue to implement positive training techniques. Stay motivated and stay in close contact with your RCTI.

Second Visit Required:	Yes for Problem Solving
Suggested Timeframe:	In Six (6) Weeks

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI :	Douglas Bonar
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	March 28- April 05, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Train Mission
	Training Mission
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI : Andrew Chumbler	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	June 24, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Problem Solving Assessment
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):</i>	
A review of the teams' scattergrams and training records revealed (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r) The team was advised to keep training consistent. The importance of periodically reviewing scatter grams was stressed to observe where training is lacking, and to ensure the canine is exposed to all the canine explosives training aids, in order to maintain proficiency.	
Training Issue(s)	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken <i>(Describe all corrective actions):</i>	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided <i>(Explain in Detail):</i>	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r) This RCTI concurs with the findings of the medical staff associated with the canine's well-being. Letter form the vet can be provided upon request.	
Second Visit Required:	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

Suggested Timeframe:	
-----------------------------	--

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI : William Horrach	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	April 8, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Problem Solving Assessment
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
Records; Initial review of the records/scattergrams revealed: The handler had annotated PSC training from January – April 2016. (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided *(Explain in Detail):*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Second Visit Required:	Yes for Problem Solving
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : Oppenheimer	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	26-28 January 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Progress Check
Evaluation	
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.): Team has been training well and focused on items from the trip report. Team was still new to airport after transfer.	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues): (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions): (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail): K9 is on track for their eval. Nothing noted should not be able to be corrected by then. Next visit will train with teams and ensure team continue to progress.	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	In Six (6) Weeks

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI :	Paul Eldridge
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	September 23, 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Initial Assessment
	N/A

Initial Review of Records and Discussion *(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Training Issue(s) *(Describe all issues):*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Corrective Action(s) Taken *(Describe all corrective actions):*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided *(Explain in Detail):*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Second Visit Required:

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

Suggested Timeframe:	
-----------------------------	--

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI : Brian C. Jordan	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3)-49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	July 29 – 31, 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3)-49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Problem Solving
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):</i>	
An initial review of the teams' records was conducted after the handler described the issues that she was having with her canine. The review of the records indicated that the team was doing an adequate amount of training (b)(3)-49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
(b)(3)-49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) <i>(Describe all issues):</i>	
(b)(3)-49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

Corrective Action(s) Taken *(Describe all corrective actions):*

(b)(3)49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided *(Explain in Detail):*

(b)(3)49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Second Visit Required:	
Suggested Timeframe:	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Canine Training & Evaluation Section (CTES) document only and not for disbursement.*

Regional Canine Training Instructor's Name:	Dami, Robert
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	20150417
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6),(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Problem Solving
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
(b)(6),(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI : Brian C. Jordan	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	June 22 – June 24, 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Problem Solving
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
A brief review of the teams' training records was conducted to establish a better understanding of how the team was training (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Second Visit Required: Yes for Problem Solving

Suggested Timeframe:

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI : David Krause	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	Sep 1-3, 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Assessment
	Operational Reinstatement
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):</i> Review of the team's records prior to this OA revealed that this canine was sufficiently exposed to each CETA and each search area within this teams area of responsibility.	
Training Issue(s) <i>(Describe all issues):</i> (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken <i>(Describe all corrective actions):</i> (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided <i>(Explain in Detail):</i>	
Second Visit Required:	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

Suggested Timeframe:	
-----------------------------	--

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI : Andrew Chumbler	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	June 13, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Progress Check
Evaluation	
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):</i>	
A review of the teams' scatter grams and training records revealed no major discrepancies. The team was advised to keep training consistent with canine advancement goals and resist the inclination to train specifically for evaluations. The importance of periodically reviewing scatter grams was stressed to observe where training is lacking, and to ensure the canine is exposed to all the canine explosives training aids, in order to maintain their proficiency.	
Training Issue(s) <i>(Describe all issues):</i>	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken <i>(Describe all corrective actions):</i>	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided <i>(Explain in Detail):</i>	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

Overall a good 3 days of productive training. The canine showed marked improvement throughout the Progress Check. The handler was advised to contact the RCTI, in the event any further issues should arise.	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

SRCTI : Charles Rotenberry	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	April 7, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6),(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Assessment
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):</i> It was observed, throughout the handler's absence from training, the CWS information for this EDCT is very limited. It was reiterated that now that the handler has continued training to ensure the timely and accurate entry of CWS information.	
Training Issue(s) <i>(Describe all issues):</i> (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken <i>(Describe all corrective actions):</i> (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided <i>(Explain in Detail):</i> (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

SRCTI :	Charles Rotenberry
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	April 7, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Assessment
	Operational Reinstatement
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):</i> It was observed, throughout the handler's absence from training, the CWS information for this EDCT is very limited. It was reiterated that now that the handler has continued training to ensure the timely and accurate entry of CWS information.	
Training Issue(s) <i>(Describe all issues):</i> (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken <i>(Describe all corrective actions):</i> (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided <i>(Explain in Detail):</i> (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

(b)(3)-49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Second Visit Required:	
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI : McKenzie	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	June 28-29, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Assessment
	Operational Reinstatement
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):</i>	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) <i>(Describe all issues):</i>	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken <i>(Describe all corrective actions):</i>	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided <i>(Explain in Detail):</i>	
(b)(3) 49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Second Visit Required:	Return to Lackland for retraining
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI : McKenzie	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	April 15, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Progress Check
Evaluation	
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

(b)(3)49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided *(Explain in Detail):*

(b)(3)49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Follow-up procedures will be routinely monitored by the RCTI up until the Remedial evaluation.

Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI :	Rivera, Raymond
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	March 08-10, 2017
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Progress Check
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
Initial review of records/scatter-grams for a 12-day period revealed some balance between conventional and PSC. (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Corrective Action(s) Taken *(Describe all corrective actions):*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided *(Explain in Detail):*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Second Visit Required:	Yes for Second Assessment
Suggested Timeframe:	In Three (3) Weeks

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI : Brian Jordan	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	April 05-07, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Assessment
	Operational Reinstatement
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):</i>	
Initial review of the training records was conducted and the following observations and conclusions were determined by the RCTI. (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) <i>(Describe all issues):</i>	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):

(b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):

Handler should be providing the RCTI with bi-weekly updates on the status of his canine (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) If you have any questions, comments or concerns, please contact RCTI Brian C. Jordan at (b)(6) or at (b)(6) (cell). Thank you.

Second Visit Required: No-Ready for Evaluation

Suggested Timeframe: Next Available Date

~~SENSITIVE SECURITY INFORMATION~~
Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : SMYSER	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	DEC 7-11, 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Progress Check
	Operational Reinstatement
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):</i>	
Handler is doing a satisfactory job with keeping and inputting records. Discussions on the importance of accurate record keeping have been discussed at length.	
Training Issue(s) <i>(Describe all issues):</i>	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken <i>(Describe all corrective actions):</i>	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided <i>(Explain in Detail):</i>	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

CONTINUE TO CHALLENGE BOTH THE HANDLER AND CANINE DURING TRAINING.
NO MAJOR PROBLEMS NOTED.

Second Visit Required: No-Ready for Evaluation

Suggested Timeframe: Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI :	SMYSER, WALTER G.
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. §
Date (Long Date Format):	JUNE 7, 8, & 9, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Progress Check
	Evaluation
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):</i>	
Initial review of training records prior to Training Mission of April 4-12, 2016 showed team did not have adequate training (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) <i>(Describe all issues):</i>	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken <i>(Describe all corrective actions):</i>	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

(b)(3) 49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided *(Explain in Detail):*

(b)(3) 49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Second Visit Required:	Choose an item.
Suggested Timeframe:	More Than Two (2) Months

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : Krause	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	25 November 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Transitional Assessment
N/A	
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
A review of the training records/scattergram indicates a good mixture of training in each area, utilizing each CETA several times and varying the time intervals of all training conducted since graduating from the CTC. Training records also indicate one instance of aggressive toward a CETA location.	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
Canine (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § responded aggressively on several CETA locations throughout the assessment. Handler claimed that this problem was encountered at CTC and fixed before they graduated. (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § showed several different behaviors ranging from biting, pawing and pushing with his head at CETA location(s).	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
Handler was instructed to give a verbal "no", followed by pulling (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § from his final response location, brought back to said location, given the seek command (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):	
Discussed with (b)(3):4 Field Training Officer (FTO) about recommended actions if this behavior continues. The use of known CETA locations for handler to give verbal sit before and/or if canine starts showing possible aggressive tendencies.	
Second Visit Required:	
Suggested Timeframe:	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI : Paul F. Howard Jr.	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	21-25 March 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3)-49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Training Mission
N/A	
Initial Review of Records and Discussion: A review of the training records/scatter-gram indicates a good mixture of training in each area, utilizing each CETA several times and varying the time intervals of all training conducted since the assignment of the canine.	
Training Issue(s):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken:	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided: No major problems noted. The canine and handler are excelling as a team and continue to advance daily. Early on I could tell that all the team needed was a few very minor adjustments. Both are ready to challenge their operational evaluation.	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI :	Paul F. Howard Jr
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	25 June – 11 July 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Training Mission
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):</i> A review of the training records/scatter-gram indicates a good mixture of training in each area, utilizing each CETA several times and varying the time intervals of all training conducted since the assignment of the canine.	
Training Issue(s) <i>(Describe all issues):</i> (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

Corrective Action(s) Taken *(Describe all corrective actions):*

(b)(3)49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided *(Explain in Detail):*

Upon completion of the training mission, no major lingering problems were noted. The canine and handler are excelling as a team and continue to advance daily. Both are ready to challenge their operational evaluation.

Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : SMYSER	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	March 11, 2016 (03/01-03/2016)
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Progress Check
	Evaluation
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
Initial review of records revealed adequate amount of training in all evaluation areas leading up to the OE was conducted. (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(f)

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided *(Explain in Detail):*

Continue to implement positive training techniques. Stay motivated and stay in close contact with your RCTI if you have any questions.

Second Visit Required:	Yes for Problem Solving
Suggested Timeframe:	More Than Two (2) Months

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Canine Training & Evaluation Section (CTES) document only and not for disbursement.*

Regional Canine Training Instructor's Name:	Jeremy Hall/ Dennis Ford
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	02/19/2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Assessment
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
An initial review of the team's scatter gram's (90day), (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Second Visit Required:	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Suggested Timeframe:	
-----------------------------	--

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : Dennis Ford	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	10/08/2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Assessment
	Operational Reinstatement
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):</i>	
An initial review of the team's training records and scattergrams revealed that the canine was off odor for over 30 days. (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) <i>(Describe all issues):</i>	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Corrective Action(s) Taken *(Describe all corrective actions):*

(b)(3) 49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided *(Explain in Detail):*

(b)(3) 49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Second Visit Required:	Yes for Second Assessment
-------------------------------	---------------------------

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Suggested Timeframe:	In Six (6) Weeks
-----------------------------	------------------

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : Chris LaLonde	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	June 22 nd – 25 th 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Transitional Assessment
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):</i>	
A review of the training records/scattergram indicates a good mixture of training in each area. Each CETA was used several times since graduating from the CTC.	
Training Issue(s) <i>(Describe all issues):</i>	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken <i>(Describe all corrective actions):</i>	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided <i>(Explain in Detail):</i>	
Follow up training and oversight will be conducted with team to ensure progression through all areas and the elimination of training deficiencies.	
Second Visit Required:	Schedule Second TM or Evaluation by CTES
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : David N. Krause	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	January 19-22, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	TTA
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
This transfer from (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r) is an experienced Cycle 3 canine team. They transitioned smoothly to their new work environment and should be an excellent addition to the (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r) Airport.	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
None noted.	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : David N. Krause	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	January 19-22, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	TTA
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
This transfer from Kansas City is an experienced Cycle 3 canine team. They transitioned smoothly to their new work environment and should be an excellent addition (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
None noted.	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI :	Bonar/Greenfield
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	10 March, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Assessment
	N/A

Initial Review of Records and Discussion *(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Training Issue(s) *(Describe all issues):*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Corrective Action(s) Taken *(Describe all corrective actions):*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided *(Explain in Detail):*

(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Second Visit Required:	Yes for Problem Solving
Suggested Timeframe:	In Six (6) Weeks

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI : Scott Chirdon (RIC)	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	August 20-21, 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Problem Solving
	Choose an item.
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):</i>	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) <i>(Describe all issues):</i>	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken <i>(Describe all corrective actions):</i>	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided <i>(Explain in Detail):</i>	
- Continue with recommendations made by RCTI	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	In Six (6) Weeks

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : Andrew Chumbler	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	August 8-10, 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Problem Solving
	Training Mission
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):</i> A review of the teams' scattergrams and training records revealed no major discrepancies. All teams were advised to keep training consistent with canine advancement goals and resist the inclination to train specifically for evaluations. The importance of periodically reviewing scattergrams was stressed to observe where training is lacking, and to ensure the canine is exposed to all the canine explosives training aids, in order to maintain their proficiency.	
Training Issue(s) <i>(Describe all issues):</i> (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken <i>(Describe all corrective actions):</i> (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided <i>(Explain in Detail):</i> Continue to implement positive training techniques. Stay motivated and stay in close contact with your RCTI if you have any questions.	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : Jakub Holmes	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	10 March 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6),(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Operational Transitional Assessment
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.): A review of the training records/scattergram indicates a good mixture of training in each area, utilizing each CETA several times and varying the time intervals of all training conducted since graduating from the CTC.	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues): (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions): (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail): Follow up training and oversight will be conducted with team to ensure progression through all areas and the elimination of training deficiencies.	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Training Mission
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : Jakub Holmes	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	May 16 th – 24 th 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Training Mission
	Training Mission
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):	
Recurrent training with RCTI as scheduled.	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : Chris LaLonde	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	May 16 th – 20 th 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Training Mission
	Training Mission
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.): In 3 weeks prior to my arrival there was only 9 training days leading up to the Training Mission that varied in search areas and search time. (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r) (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues): (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions): (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail): Recurrent training with RCTI as scheduled.	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : Jakub Holmes	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	May 16 th – 24 th 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6),(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Training Mission
	Training Mission
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided *(Explain in Detail):*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Second Visit Required:	Choose an item.
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : Chris LaLonde	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	May 24 th – June 2 nd
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Training Mission
	Training Mission
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
In 3 weeks prior to my arrival there was only 12 training days leading up to the Training Mission that varied in search areas and search times. (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):	
Recurrent training with RCTI as scheduled.	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : Ford, Dennis

Participant (City and Code): (b)(3):49 U.S.C. §

Date (Long Date Format): 4/6/2015

Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#))
Only if same problem exist for multiple teams;
otherwise, use separate report form. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Reason for Trip: Training Mission

Choose an item.

Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):

Training records reflected this team has been pro-active in training, however since it is a young team;

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

(b)(3) 49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided *(Explain in Detail):*

(b)(3) 49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : Dennis Ford	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. §
Date (Long Date Format):	April 6, 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Training Mission
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
Training records reflected this team has been pro-active in training, however since it is a young team; (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided <i>(Explain in Detail):</i>	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : Ford, Dennis	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	9/30/2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6),(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Training Mission
	Choose an item.
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
Training records reflected this team has been pro-active in training, however since it is a young canine (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Corrective Action(s) Taken *(Describe all corrective actions):*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided *(Explain in Detail):*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Second Visit Required: No-Ready for Evaluation

Suggested Timeframe: Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : Ford, Dennis	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	8/25/2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Training Mission
	Choose an item.
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):</i>	
Training records reflected this team has been pro-active in training, however since it is a young canine (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) <i>(Describe all issues):</i>	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

(b)(3) 49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Corrective Action(s) Taken *(Describe all corrective actions):*

(b)(3) 49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided *(Explain in Detail):*

(b)(3) 49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI : Scott Chirdon (RIC)	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	February 22-26, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	Team Transition Assessment (TTA)
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.): Scattergram search conducted from 1/1/2016-2/21/2016	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):	
- Continue with recommendations made by RCTI	

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI : William A. Horrach	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	November 9, 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	TTA

Initial Review of Records and Discussion *(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):*

(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Training Issue(s) *(Describe all issues):*

(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Corrective Action(s) Taken *(Describe all corrective actions):*

(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided *(Explain in Detail):*

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Other Comments:

Inspector (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 had a positive attitude and followed instructions very good.

Second Visit Required:	N/A
Suggested Timeframe:	N/A

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI :	William A. Horrach
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	November 9, 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	TTA

Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):

Initial review of records/scattergrams revealed; Both the handler and canine were PSC transfers from (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r). Upon his arrival (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r) he started acclimation training on 9/21/15, (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r).
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided *(Explain in Detail):*

(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Other Comments:

Inspector (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3)-4 had a positive attitude and followed instructions very good. Inspector (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3)-49 has the capability to be one of our best teams in the nation.

(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Second Visit Required: N/A

Suggested Timeframe: N/A

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI : William A. Horrach	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	November 9, 2015
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	TTA

Initial Review of Records and Discussion *(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):*

Initial review of records/scattergrams revealed: Both the handler and canine were PSC transfers (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Training Issue(s) *(Describe all issues):*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Corrective Action(s) Taken *(Describe all corrective actions):*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided *(Explain in Detail):*

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Other Comments:

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Inspector (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3):
an had a positive attitude and followed instructions very good.

(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Second Visit Required:	N/A
Suggested Timeframe:	N/A

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

RCTI : William A. Horrach	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	March 7-11, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6),(b)(7)(C),(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	TTA
Initial Review of Records and Discussion <i>(This does not require a lengthy discussion.):</i>	
Initial review of records/scattergrams revealed; Both the handler and canine were PSC transfers (b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) <i>(Describe all issues):</i>	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Corrective Action(s) Taken <i>(Describe all corrective actions):</i>	
(b)(3):49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	

~~WARNING: This record contains Sensitive Security Information that is controlled under 49 CFR parts 15 and 1520. No part of this record may be disclosed to persons without a "need to know", as defined in 49 CFR parts 15 and 1520, except with the written permission of the Administrator of the Transportation Security Administration or the Secretary of Transportation. Unauthorized release may result in civil penalty or other action. For U.S. government agencies, public disclosure is governed by 5 U.S.C. 552 and 49 CFR parts 15 and 1520.~~

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

(b)(3), 49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided *(Explain in Detail):*

(b)(3), 49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Other Comments:

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3), 49 U.S.C. § 114(r)

Second Visit Required: N/A

Suggested Timeframe: N/A

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

The information contained on this document should be supported by the Regional Canine Training Instructor Participant Checklist. *This is a Field Assessment and Analysis document only and not for disbursement.*

Regional Canine Training Instructor Assessment Report

RCTI : David N. Krause	
Participant (City and Code):	(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Date (Long Date Format):	February 16-17, 2016
Canine Team(s) (Handler/Canine (Brand#)) <i>Only if same problem exist for multiple teams; otherwise, use separate report form.</i>	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)
Reason for Trip:	OTA
	N/A
Initial Review of Records and Discussion (This does not require a lengthy discussion.):	
This team transitioned nicely from CTC, Lackland (b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
(b)(3);49 U.S.C. § 114(r)	
Training Issue(s) (Describe all issues):	
None noted.	
Corrective Action(s) Taken (Describe all corrective actions):	
Follow-up Procedure(s) Provided (Explain in Detail):	
Second Visit Required:	No-Ready for Evaluation
Suggested Timeframe:	Next Available Date